Urban Development Program

Regional Residential Report

Shire of Campaspe



Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This Urban Development Program was undertaken by Spatial Economics Pty Ltd, and commissioned by the Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure. The Urban Development Program (Campaspe) would not have been possible if it were not for the invaluable contribution made by staff from the Shire of Campaspe and the Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure's Loddon Mallee Regional Office.

Published by the Urban Development Program Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure 1 Spring Street Melbourne Victoria 3000 Telephone (03) 9223 1783

September 2013

Unless indicated otherwise, this work is made available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia licence. To view a copy of this licence, visit

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au

Urban Development Program, State of Victoria through the Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure 2013

Authorised by Matthew Guy, 1 Spring Street Melbourne Victoria 3000.

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for an error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

Accessibility

If you would like to receive this publication in an accessible format, please telephone (03) 9223 1783 or email urbandevelopment.program@dpcd.vic.gov.au. This publication is also available in Word format on http://www.dpcd.vic.gov.au/planning/plansandpolicies/urban-development-program

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Purpose and Context
- 1.2 Program Context
- 1.3 Urban Development Program Reports

2.0 APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

3.0 OVERVIEW

4.0 RECENT ACTIVITY

- 4.1 Residential Building Approvals
- 4.2 Residential Lot Construction
 - 4.2.1 Minor Infill Lot Construction
 - 4.2.2 Broadhectare Lot Construction
 - 4.2.3 Rural Residential Lot Construction

5.0 RESIDENTIAL LAND SUPPLY

- 5.1 Minor Infill Supply
- 5.2 Broadhectare Supply
- 5.3 Future Residential Land Supply
- 5.4 Rural Residential Allotments

6.0 PROJECTED DEMAND

7.0 YEARS OF SUPPLY – RESIDENTIAL LAND

8.0 **RESIDENTIAL TABLES**

LOCATION OF SUBURBS AND STATISTICAL LOCAL AREAS – CAMPASPE MAP

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

List of Tables

LISC OF TADIES	
Table 1:	Residential Lot Potential by Supply Type, December 2012
Table 2:	Anticipated Lot Construction Activity – Broadhectare/Major Infill, 2012
Table 3:	Estimated Years of Residential Broadhectare and Major Infill Land Supply, 2012
Table 4:	Minor Infill Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012
Table 5:	Parent Lot Size of Minor Infill Lot Construction, July 2006 to December 2012
Table 6:	Broadhectare/Major Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012
Table 7:	Low Density Residential Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012
Table 8:	Rural Living Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012
Table 9:	Minor Infill (vacant lots) Supply by Lot Size Cohort, Dec 2009
Table 10:	Broadhectare/Major Infill Lot Potential and Anticipated Development Timing (lots), 2012
Table 11:	Broadhectare/Major Infill Stocks – No Timing or Yield, 2012
Table 12:	Future Rural Residential Stock (Hectares), 2012
Table 13:	Occupied and Vacant Rural Residential Lot Stock by Zone Type, 2009
Table 14(a):	Estimated and Projected Population, 2011 to 2031
Table 14(b):	Estimated and Projected Number of Dwellings, 2011 to 2031
Table 14(c):	Projected Average Annual Change in the Number of Persons and Dwellings, 2011 to 2031
Table 14(d):	Projected Average Annual Percentage Change in the Number of Persons and Dwellings, 2011 to 2031
List of Graphs	
Graph 1:	Number of Residential Building Approvals by Type, July 1996 to July 2012
Graph 2:	Number of Residential Lots Constructed by Supply Type, July 2006 to December 2012
Graph 3:	Average Annual Number of Residential Lots Constructed by Suburb, July 2006 to December 2012
Graph 4:	Parent Lot Size of Minor Infill Lot Subdivision, July 2006 to December 2012
Graph 5:	Minor Infill Supply – Number of Vacant Zoned Residential Allotments, by Lot Size Cohort, 2009
Graph 6:	Stock of Vacant and Occupied 'rural residential' Allotments, 2009
Graph 7:	Historic and Projected Demand for Residential Dwellings, 2006 to 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Urban Development Program for Regional Victoria provides an analysis of supply and demand for residential and industrial land across parts of regional Victoria. Assessments completed to date include the municipalities of Ballarat, Greater Bendigo, Latrobe and Wodonga, Wangaratta, Greater Shepparton, Warrnambool, Horsham and Mildura. Residential land supply assessments for the G21 consortium of councils are available on the G21 Regional Growth Plan - Implementation Plan website.

Additional land supply assessments undertaken for the municipalities of Bass Coast, Baw Baw, Macedon Ranges, Mitchell, Moorabool, Mount Alexander, Moyne and South Gippsland are also near completion.

This round of land supply assessments include the municipal areas of: Wellington, Southern Grampians, Ararat, Swan Hill, Campaspe, East Gippsland, Glenelg and Benalla.

This component provides information on residential supply and demand for the Shire of Campaspe.

The following residential land supply assessment was undertaken by Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and commissioned by the Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure in conjunction with the Shire of Campaspe.

It draws on important information and feedback obtained through a number of comprehensive consultations with key council officers, and Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure regional officers undertaken through the course of the project.

RECENT ACTIVITY

As measured from July 2006 to July 2012 residential building approval activity within the Shire of Campaspe has averaged 162 per annum.

The vast majority of building approvals (90%) since July 2006 have been separate houses, the remaining 10% for medium density dwellings.

A slight majority (51% or 83 per annum) of building approval activity since July 2006 has been located within the Statistical Local Area (SLA) of Echuca. Within the Kyabram SLA there was on average 47 residential dwelling approvals per annum from July 2006 to July 2012, representing 29% of the municipalities total approval activity. There was an average of 24 residential building approvals per annum within the SLA of Rochester and an average of 9 residential dwelling approvals in the SLA of Campaspe - South which includes the towns of Rushworth and Stanhope.

From July 2006 to December 2012 there was an average annual residential lot construction of 145. The majority (52%) were broadhectare/major infill lots, followed by minor infill lot construction at 36% and 12% rural residential. The majority (64%) of residential lot construction activity was located within the town of Echuca, followed by Kyabram (22%), and Rochester (7%).

PROJECTED DEMAND

Projected dwelling requirements sourced from *Victoria in Future 2012* indicate that from 2011 to 2031 there will be a total dwelling requirement of 4,609 (230 average per annum).

An alternative demand projection has been developed that is based on recent (2006 to 2012) building approval activity – a measure of expressed demand, in conjunction with growth rates identified in the State Governments' projections. This demand scenario results in an average annual dwelling requirement of 186 dwellings per annum.

This growth scenario results in a 20% (940 dwellings) decrease in total dwelling requirements from 2011 to 2031.

IDENTIFIED RESIDENTIAL LAND SUPPLY

In total (excluding minor infill) there is a residential lot supply of approximately 9,576. This is comprised of:

- 3,167 zoned broadhectare/major infill lots (33% of supply);
- 217 vacant rural residential lots (2% of supply); and
- 6,192 designated future residential lots (65% of supply).

As at December 2009, there was 296 minor infill lots identified. Of these lots, 210 were sized less than 1,200sqm or 71% of the identified minor infill lot supply.

As at December 2012, there was a zoned residential lot capacity within broadhectare/major infill areas of approximately 3,167, of which 66% (2,100 lots) are located in the town of Kyabram and 20% (696 lots) in Echuca and 235 lots in Rochester.

Within the Shire of Campaspe, there is an estimated lot potential within Future Residential areas of approximately 6,192. Of this lot potential by township/urban area:

- 6,020 lots are located in Echuca;
- 100 lots in Waranga Shores; and
- 72 lots in Gunbower.

As at December 2009 across the municipality of Campaspe there was a total lot stock of rural residential allotments of 1,221. Of this stock, 217 lots were vacant, a lot vacancy rate of 18%. A total of 40.4 hectares of future rural residential land stocks have been identified.

YEARS OF RESIDENTIAL LAND SUPPLY

Two projected demand scenarios are used to assess the years of residential land stocks, the outcomes are summarised below.

Victoria in Future 2012 Demand Scenario

In terms of zoned broadhectare and major infill residential land stocks it is estimated based on the identified supply and projected demand, there are sufficient land stocks to satisfy over 15 years of future demand.

Zoned broadhectare and major infill supply by SLA is sufficient to satisfy:

- 6 years: Echuca SLA;
- Over 15 years: Kyabram SLA;
- Over 15 years: Rochester and
- Over 15 years: South SLA.

In terms of future residential land supply stocks, there is sufficient land to satisfy over 15 years of projected demand across the municipality and the composite SLA's. However there are no identified future (unzoned) broadhectare/major infill land stocks within the Kyabram SLA.

Historic Trend Based Demand Scenario

In terms of zoned broadhectare/ major infill and future residential land stocks it is estimated based on the identified supply and projected demand, there are sufficient land stocks to satisfy over 15 years of future demand at a municipal and composite SLA level – with exception to Echuca. Based on this demand scenario, the years of zoned broadhectare/major infill land stocks is sufficient to satisfy 8 years of demand.

Conclusions and Current Actions

In summary there is an adequate stock of zoned residential land to meet *Victoria in Future* 2012 and trend based consumption rates within the Shire of Campaspe, although this differs across the various settlements. Consumption of residential land, however, should continue to be monitored to ensure there are sufficient land stocks to meet future demand.

Campaspe Shire Council is has prepared a Housing Strategy to help guide the future housing and settlement needs beyond 2030, and assist in long-term planning for Echuca. Amendment C86 to the Campaspe Planning Scheme (currently on exhibition) seeks to implement the recommendations of the strategy.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND CONTEXT

The Urban Development Program was set up in 2003 to assist in managing the growth and development of metropolitan Melbourne and the Geelong region, and help ensure the continued sustainable growth of these areas in order to maintain their high levels of liveability.

The primary purpose of the Urban Development Program is to improve the management of urban growth by ensuring that government, councils, public utilities and the development industry have access to up-to-date and accurate information on residential and industrial land availability, development trends, new growth fronts, and their implications for planning and infrastructure investment.

To achieve the primary purpose the Urban Development Program provides accurate, consistent and updated intelligence on residential and industrial land supply, demand and consumption. This in turn assists decision-makers in:

- maintaining an adequate supply of residential and industrial land for future housing and employment purposes;
- providing information to underpin strategic planning in urban centres;
- linking land use with infrastructure and service planning and provision;
- taking early action to address potential land supply shortfalls and infrastructure constraints; and
- contributing to the containment of public sector costs by the planned, coordinated provision of infrastructure to service the staged release of land for urban development.

The information contained and reported within the Urban Development Program enables early action to be taken in areas where land shortfalls have been identified.

1.2 PROGRAM CONTEXT

During 2009-2010, the Urban Development Program was expanded across key provincial areas across regional Victoria, and is incrementally being rolled out across the State. Assessments completed to date include the municipalities of Ballarat, Greater Bendigo, Latrobe and Wodonga, Wangaratta, Greater Shepparton, Warrnambool, Horsham and Mildura. Residential land supply assessments for the G21 consortium of councils are available on the G21 Regional Growth Plan - Implementation Plan website.

Additional land supply assessments undertaken for the municipalities of Bass Coast, Baw Baw, Macedon Ranges, Mitchell, Moorabool, Mount Alexander, Moyne and South Gippsland are also near completion.

This round of land supply assessments include the municipal areas of: Wellington, Southern Grampians, Ararat, Swan Hill, Campaspe, East Gippsland, Glenelg and Benalla.

The expanded Urban Development Program into regional Victoria will build local and regional data bases and, importantly, provide a platform for mapping and spatial analysis in each region. This will in turn allow councils and other key stakeholders in the planning and development

sectors to make more informed decisions in the growth and investment of these key areas across regional Victoria.

The industrial and residential land supply assessments were undertaken by Spatial Economics Pty Ltd, and commissioned by the Department of Transport, Planning and Local Infrastructure in conjunction with the associated councils.

1.3 URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM REPORTS

The 2013 Urban Development Program Reports for Wellington, Southern Grampians, Ararat, Swan Hill, Campaspe, East Gippsland, Glenelg and Benalla, as well as additional Regional Reports and the metropolitan Urban Development Program Annual Report, are available online at www.dpcd.vic.gov.au/urbandevelopmentprogram

For more information about the Urban Development Program, email the Department of Planning and Community Development at <u>urbandevelopment.program@dtpli.vic.gov.au</u>

2.0 APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

The following provides a brief outline of the major methodologies and approach in the assessment of recent residential lot construction, residential land supply, projections of demand and determining the years of supply of current land stocks. In addition, key definitions of terms used within the following assessment are detailed in the glossary of terms at the end of this report.

Information is presented at both a Statistical Local Area (SLA) and suburb (Australian Bureau of Statistics definition) level. A map highlighting the location of these boundaries is located within the data appendices. The report retains ABS terminology for the geographic areas, however it is appreciated that the term 'suburbs' includes urban and rural areas.

Assessments of land supply are dependent on the availability of aerial imagery. The most current imagery available for this assessment was taken during the summer of 2009/2010.

Note that for the purposes of this report the regional component of the expanded Urban Development Program is referred to as the 'Regional Urban Development Program'.

ESTIMATING FUTURE DWELLING REQUIREMENTS

The Population and Household Projections 2011-2031 for Victoria and Its Regions, released by the (former) Department of Planning and Community Development and outlined in *Victoria in Future 2012*, are used by the Regional Urban Development Program as the basis for determining projected demand for residential allotments. Demand information is assessed at both a municipal level and by the component Statistical Local Areas (SLAs).

RESIDENTIAL LAND

In the following land supply assessments residential lot construction and land supply have been designated by differing supply types, namely:

Minor Infill: Undeveloped land within the existing urban area, zoned for residential development, and parent lot or existing lot less than 1ha.

Major Infill: Undeveloped land or sites identified for redevelopment within the existing urban area, zoned for residential development, and parent lot or existing lot greater than 1ha.

Broadhectare: Undeveloped land generally located on the urban fringe, zoned for residential development (no previous urban development activity), and the parent lot greater than 1ha.

Future Residential: Land identified by the relevant municipal authority for future residential development and current zoning not supportive of 'normal' residential development. Land which has an 'Urban Growth Zone' applied, and a precinct structure plan has not yet been approved, falls into this category.

Rural Residential: Land zoned or identified for future Low Density Residential (LDRZ) or Rural Living (RLZ).

RESIDENTIAL LOT CONSTRUCTION

Residential lot construction has been determined via the processes established within the State Government's Housing Development Data project. It involves the extensive cleaning of the residential cadastre and the application of this cadastre to the land supply types identified above.

A constructed lot is defined by the year of construction and the finalisation of certificate of title.

Construction activity has been assessed on an annual basis as at July of each year from 2006 to 2012, additional analysis has been included to identify lot construction to December 2012.

LOT YIELDS

Lot yields have been established on a parcel by parcel basis for the following land supply types: major infill, broadhectare and future residential.

In establishing the lot yield for each individual land parcel the following information was used: incidence and location of native vegetation, zoning, natural features such as creeks, old mineshafts, escarpments, floodways, localised current/recent market yields, existing studies such as structure plans, municipal strategic statements etc.

In addition to site specific issues, 'standard' land development take-outs are employed, including local and regional. The amount/proportion of such take-outs are dependent on the site of the land parcel i.e. a 1ha site will have less take-outs than say a 50ha site. This approach has been utilised by both the residential and industrial land supply assessments since 2004 in the metropolitan Urban Development Program.

Further intelligence and verification is sourced from local council planning officers.

A small number of supply sites have been allocated a zero lot yield due to a number of varying factors, these include but not limited to:

- unlikely to be developed over the next 15 years due to issues such as significant ownership fragmentation on relatively small parcels of land;
- subdivision restricted until sewerage is provided;
- the site is within an area of low demand and is unlikely to be developed with any certainty within the foreseeable future; and
- potential/likely lot density could be low.

Sites with a zero lot yield have been identified and are summarised by location and area.

DEVELOPMENT TIMING

Staging for lot construction or development timing has been established for four broad time periods, namely:

- 1 to 2 years (2013–2014);
- 3 to 5 years (2015–2017);
- 6 to 10 years (2018–2022);
- 11 years or more (2023 and beyond); and
- No timing.

Land identified for development over the next 2 years is available for residential purposes, and the required permits to subdivide the land generally exist and are being implemented.

Land parcels identified for development in 3 to 5 years are normally zoned, or may have rezonings finalised or approaching finalisation. They may also have permits to subdivide the land. Some degree of confidence can be applied to the timing and staging of these developments.

Confidence about lot yields and staging declines for developments proposed beyond 5 years as it is industry practice to regard developments beyond this period with less certainty in terms of exact staging, timing and yields.

A no timing category has been established for potential residential development sites that are within low demand areas (generally small outlying settlements). These sites typically in addition are allocated a zero potential lot yield. They are identified as potential and are measured by area.

Where land has been identified as 'Future Residential' there are no associated timings, as these cannot be confidently applied until such time the land is zoned to allow residential development to occur. Similarly, land which is within an Urban Growth Zone, where a precinct structure plan has not been approved, falls into a similar category. At such time a precinct structure plan has been prepared and approved, potential timings of residential development associated to these areas can be applied with a higher degree of confidence.

It should also be noted that timing of lot construction is cyclical, and highly dependent on underlying demand, economic cycles and industry capacity. This can mean that stated development intentions will vary from on-the-ground construction activity over time and by location. However, it is highly accurate in terms of the general direction and amount of growth.

Development timings have only been established for both Major Infill land supply stocks and broadhectare land.

Anticipated development timings are primarily sourced from existing planning permits, historic and current market activity, knowledge of industry capacity, projected demand and most importantly intelligence from local council staff.

RURAL RESIDENTIAL

Rural Residential allotments have been established via the assessment of the cadastre and zoning information. All allotments zoned either Rural Living (RLZ) and Low Density Residential (LDRZ) is included. Custom technology as described above was utilised to establish the stock of vacant low density allotments, this was subsequently verified via a manual process in conjunction with aerial imagery. The assessment is undertaken on the date of the latest aerial imagery.

YEARS OF SUPPLY FOR RESIDENTIAL LAND

A key purpose of the Regional Urban Development Program is to identify if sufficient residential land is available to meet projected dwelling requirements within the relevant municipal area. Sufficient stock of residential land is required to maintain an ongoing supply to the market and to contribute to:

 adequate competition in the land development market to avoid unnecessary upward pressure on land prices and housing affordability; and • sufficient lead times for planning and service provision agencies to undertake appropriate strategic and infrastructure planning activities.

For the purpose of reporting on the years of supply of residential stocks, the Regional Urban Development Program assesses the existing stock of residential land (major infill, broadhectare and future residential) relative to projected demand.

In assessing the number of years of broadhectare, major infill and designated future (unzoned) residential land supply, only a component of the total projected demand is apportioned to estimate future demand for broadhectare and major infill supply. The remainder is apportioned for future demand for other forms of residential supply such as low density and rural living.

The number of 'years of supply' of residential land is undertaken at both a municipal level (total) and by Statistical Local Area. Years of supply is expressed for both the total zoned stocks of identified residential land and future residential land stocks.

Two projected demand scenarios are illustrated:

- Dwelling requirements contained within the (former) DPCD's Population and Household Projections (*Victoria in Future 2012*); and
- Recent residential building approval trends (2006 to 2012).

Both sets of projections are discounted by the historic average of total broadhectare and major infill lot construction relative to total residential lot construction activity. In addition, the historic trend scenario applies the projected proportional rate of change as identified within the population projections.

3.0 OVERVIEW

The Shire of Campaspe is located in north central Victoria, about 180 kilometres north of Melbourne. The Shire of Campaspe is bounded by the Murray River and the New South Wales border in the north, Moira Shire in the north-east, the City of Greater Shepparton in the east, Strathbogie Shire and the City of Greater Bendigo in the south, Loddon Shire in the west, and Gannawarra Shire in the north-west.

The Shire of Campaspe is a predominantly rural area, but has significant residential areas in the townships of Echuca and Kyabram. The largest town is Echuca, followed by Kyabram. Smaller townships include Gunbower, Lockington, Rochester, Rushworth, Stanhope and Tongala. The Shire encompasses a total land area of about 4,500 square kilometres. Land is used mainly for agriculture, particularly dairy farming, cereal and grain growing and sheep grazing. Tourism is also an important industry.

This report covers the trends and shifts in building activity across the municipality of Campaspe, and provides an insight into proposed future residential development activity.

The information in this section has been compiled resulting from a number of comprehensive consultations with key representatives from the Shire of Campaspe. It is supported by datasets from the Australian Bureau of Statistics.

4.0 RECENT ACTIVITY

This section of the report details the recent activity of residential lot construction and dwelling approvals across the Shire of Campaspe. Residential lot construction activity is detailed from July 2006 to December 2012 and is presented at a suburb, Statistical Local Area (SLA) and municipal level. Residential lot construction is further analysed by supply type/location, namely:

- Minor Infill;
- Broadhectare/Major Infill (combined); and
- Rural Residential.

4.1 RESIDENTIAL BUILDING APPROVALS

As measured from July 2006 to July 2012 residential building approval activity within the Shire of Campaspe has averaged 162 per annum, the amount of building approval activity as measured on an annual basis has varied, peaking at 240 in 2006-07 and declining to 109 in 2011-12.


Graph 1 illustrates the amount of building approval activity by dwelling type on an annual basis for the Shire of Campaspe.

The vast majority of building approvals (90%) since July 2006 have been separate houses, the remaining 10% for medium density dwellings.

A slight majority (51% or 83 per annum) of building approval activity since July 2006 has been located within the Statistical Local Area (SLA) of Echuca. Within the Kyabram SLA there was on average 47 residential dwelling approvals per annum from July 2006 to July 2012, representing 29% of the municipalities total approval activity.

There was an average of 24 residential building approvals per annum within the SLA of Rochester and an average of 9 residential dwelling approvals in the SLA of Campaspe - South which includes the towns of Rushworth and Stanhope.





Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, Catalogue No.8731.0

URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM REGIONAL RESIDENTIAL REPORT - SHIRE OF CAMPASPE

4.2 RESIDENTIAL LOT CONSTRUCTION

Analysis has been undertaken to determine on a lot by lot basis the location and amount of residential lot construction activity from July 2006 to December 2012. Lot construction activity has been classified into distinct supply types and or supply locations as defined above.


Graph 2 summarises the amount of residential lot construction by supply type for the Shire of Campaspe. From July 2006 to December 2012 there was an average annual residential lot construction of 145. The majority (52%) were broadhectare/major infill lots, followed by minor infill lot construction at 36% and 12% rural residential.

In comparison to the annual volume of residential building approvals, residential lot construction varies considerably. Residential lot construction was the lowest in 2011-12 at 48 lots and 'peaked' in 2006-07 at 291 lots. As measured to the December Quarter 2012 there have been 34 residential lots constructed.

The lot construction variance over-time is a typical trend illustrated from the land development industry and indicates no significant supply or policy issues.

Graph 3 illustrates the average annual volume of all residential lot production by suburb. The majority (64%) of residential lot construction activity was located within the town of Echuca, followed by Kyabram (22%), and Rochester (7%).


Lot construction and residential building approval activity as measured from July 2006 to March 2012 broadly aligns in terms of the identified volume at 145 and 162 respectively per annum. However the difference infers the construction of dwellings on vacant allotments constructed prior to July 2006.



Graph 2: Number of Residential Lots Constructed by Supply Type, July 2006 to December 2012

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM REGIONAL RESIDENTIAL REPORT - SHIRE OF CAMPASPE



Graph 3: Average Annual Number of Residential Lots Constructed by Suburb, July 2006 to December 2012

4.2.1 MINOR INFILL LOT CONSTRUCTION

Minor infill lot construction activity as measured from July 2006 to December 2012 across the Shire of Campaspe averaged 53 lots per annum. This represents 36% of all residential lot construction activity across the municipal area.


Minor infill lot construction activity was concentrated within the established urban area of Echuca (42% of activity), Kyabram (29%), Rochester (17%) and Rushworth (6%).

As measured annually from July 2006 to December 2012, the amount of minor infill lot construction activity has varied significantly. In 2006-07 there were approximately 113 minor infill lots constructed, significantly decreasing to 29 in 2008-09 and rising to 70 in 2009-10. As measured to the December Quarter 2012 there have been 21 minor infill lots constructed.

Analysis has been undertaken to determine the 'parent' lot size of subdivided minor infill lots, specifically the lot size prior to subdivision. Graph 4 summarises the number of minor infill lot construction projects by selected 'parent' lot size cohorts.

Of the 197 minor infill lot construction projects since July 2006 (total lot yield of 342) the majority of projects (63 or 32%) 'parent' lot size was from 800 to 1200sqm followed closely with 58 projects (29%) sized from 2000 to 5000sqm.

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013 *Note:* Includes – broadhectare, major infill, minor infill and rural residential lot construction.



Graph 4: Parent Lot Size of Minor Infill Lot Subdivision, July 2006 to December 2012

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013 *Note:* Parent lot size refers to the size of the allotment prior to subdivision.

4.2.2 BROADHECTARE AND MAJOR INFILL LOT CONSTRUCTION

Broadhectare/Major Infill lot construction activity as measured from July 2006 to December 2012 across the Shire of Campaspe averaged 75 lots per annum. This represents 52% of all residential lot construction activity across the municipal area.

Broadhectare/major infill lot construction activity was located across the major urban areas of the municipality, the proportional distribution of activity includes: Echuca (82%); Kyabram (15%) and Tongala (2%).

As measured annually from July 2006 to December 2012, the amount of broadhectare/major infill lot construction activity has varied significantly. In 2006-07 there was approximately 145 lots constructed declining to 27 lots the following year. Broadhectare lot production remained relatively constant at 83 and 90 lots in the consecutive years, rising to 130 in 2010-11.

There were 13 broadhectare/major infill lots constructed in 2011-12.

4.2.3 RURAL RESIDENTIAL LOT CONSTRUCTION

Rural Residential lot construction activity as measured from July 2006 to December 2012 across the Shire of Campaspe has averaged 18 lots per annum. This represents 12% of all residential lot construction activity across the municipality.

Of this lot construction activity – 74% was zoned Low Density Residential (LDRZ) and 26% Rural Living (RLZ). The majority of this subdivision activity was located in the suburbs of Echuca and Kyabram.

From July 2006 to December 2012 there was an average annual residential lot construction of 145. The majority (52%) were broadhectare/major infill lots, followed by minor infill lot construction at 36% and 12% rural residential.

Over the same period, residential building approval activity has averaged 162 per annum, of which the vast majority (90%) has been for separate houses

Analysis of the amount of building approvals and residential lot construction overall indicates a functioning residential land market across the Shire of Campaspe.

However, lot construction activity should continue to be monitored to identify lot production trends, and investigate if there are any impediments to the delivery of allotments in the short-term.

5.0 RESIDENTIAL LAND SUPPLY

This section of the report details the stock (measured in lots) of residential land across the Shire of Campaspe as at December 2012. Residential lot stock/supply is presented at a suburb, Statistical Local Area (SLA) and municipal level. Residential land supply is further analysed by supply type/location, namely:

- Minor Infill;
- Broadhectare & Major Infill;
- Future Residential; and
- Rural Residential.

For both major infill and broadhectare land supply areas, anticipated lot construction timing is presented. This refers to the likely timing of lot construction, not dwelling construction.

Table 1 details the residential land supply, measured in lots, by supply type across the Shire of Campaspe as at December 2012. In total (excluding minor infill) there is a residential lot supply of approximately 9,576. This is comprised of:

- 3,167 zoned broadhectare/major infill lots (33% of supply);
- 217 vacant rural residential lots (2% of supply); and
- 6,192 designated future residential lots (65% of supply).

Each of the supply types are further detailed below, including maps of each of the supply type, including the location of recent residential lot construction activity.

uble 1. Residential Lot Potent		Lots			No Estimated Yield (Area hectares)	
SLA/Suburb/LGA	Broadhectare/ Major	Rural Residential	Future (unzoned)	Total Lots	Broadhectare/ Major	Future (unzoned)
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	621	40	6,020	6,681	0	0
Echuca	621	40	6,020	6,681	0	0
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	2,202	3 9	0	2,241	80.0	0
Echuca	0	13	0	13	0	0
Коуида	0	0	0	0	34.3	0
Kyabram	2,100	22	0	2,122	11.4	0
Kyvalley	0	1	0	1	0	0
Lancaster	0	0	0	0	34.3	0
Tongala	102	3	0	105	0	0
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	310	7	72	389	12.0	0
Echuca	75	0	0	75	0	0
Gunbower	0	0	72	72	7.8	0
Lockington	0	0	0	0	1.5	0
Nanneella	0	0	0	0	2.6	0
Rochester (Vic.)	235	7	0	242	0	0
Campaspe (S) - South	34	131	100	265	71.5	0
Rushworth	33	59	0	92	0	0
Stanhope (Vic.)	0	0	0	0	71.5	0
Toolleen	0	45	0	45	0	0
Waranga Shores	1	27	100	128	0	0
Campaspe (S)	3,167	217	6,192	9,576	163.5	0

Table 1: Residential Lot Potential by Supply Type, December 2012

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013 **Note:** Rural Residential supply refers to vacant (as at 2009) LDRZ and RLZ zoned allotments. It does not assess the development capacity of existing zoned lots developed with a single dwelling or the development potential of vacant lots.

5.1 MINOR INFILL SUPPLY

A parcel by parcel assessment was undertaken to identify minor infill supply, specifically zoned vacant allotments sized less than one hectare. The assessment is based on the latest aerial imagery of December 2009. The identification of vacant allotments sized less than one hectare does not provide an estimated dwelling yield. Rather it simply identifies the vacant allotment by lot size and location.

Dwelling yields on such allotments can vary significantly, examples range from:

- 800sqm vacant allotment within a broadhectare estate typically would yield one dwelling;
- 800sqm vacant allotment within the urban centre, could typically range from one to four dwellings; and
- 5,000sqm allotment within a township zone (un-sewered) one dwelling versus anything from five plus dwellings within a larger urban settlement.

As at December 2009, there was 296 minor infill lots identified. Of these lots, 210 were sized less than 1,200sqm or 71% of the identified lots. In addition there were:


- 34 vacant lots sized between 1,200 to 2,000sqm;
- 44 lots sized from 2,000 to 5,000sqm; and
- 8 lots sized from 5,000 to 10,000sqm.

Graph 5 summarises the size distribution of identified minor infill supply.

All of these allotments have potential to yield multiple lots post subdivision. As noted previously 36% of lot construction activity across Campaspe was minor infill, and of this lot construction.

The majority of minor infill supply is located in the suburbs of:

- Echuca 126 lots;
- Kyabram 45 lots;
- Rochester 40 lots; and
- Rushworth 24 lots.



Graph 5: Minor Infill Supply – Number of Vacant Zoned Residential Allotments, by Lot Size Cohort, 2009

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

5.2 BROADHECTARE AND MAJOR INFILL SUPPLY

As at December 2012, there was a zoned residential lot capacity within broadhectare/major infill areas of approximately 3,167, of which 66% (2,100 lots) are located in the town of Kyabram and 20% (696 lots) in Echuca and 235 lots in Rochester. Table 2 identifies the lot yield and estimated development timing of zoned broadhectare lot stock.

SLA/LGA	1-2 years	3-5 years	6-10 years	11+ years	No Timing	Total Zoned Stocks	Future (unzoned)	Total Lots (zoned/un- zoned)
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	116	440	0	0	65	621	6,020	6,641
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	134	60	57	1,458	493	2,202	0	2,202
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	57	50	0	0	203	310	72	382
Campaspe (S) - South	0	0	0	0	34	34	100	134
Campaspe (S)	307	550	57	1,458	795	3,167	6,192	9,359

Table 2: Anticipated Lot Construction Activity – Broadhectare/Major Infill, 2012

1: The no timing status identifies potential broadhectare land stocks but do not attempt to estimate potential development timing. **Source:** Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

Zoned broadhectare/major infill lot potential represents 33% of the total existing residential land supply across the Shire of Campaspe.

Based on existing planning permits, recent construction activity and Council feedback it is anticipated that over the next five years, on average 171 lots per annum will be constructed within existing zoned broadhectare areas. This activity is anticipated to be mainly in the suburbs/urban areas of Echuca (126 per annum) and Kyabram (33 per annum). Historically, broadhectare/major infill lot construction has averaged 75 lots per annum.

In addition, there is a total broadhectare/major infill lot potential of 795 with no anticipated development timing allocated. This supply is mainly located in urban areas/townships of Kyabram (418 lots), Rochester (203 lots) and Tongala (75 lots).

NO YIELD

A total 163 hectares (30 lots) of zoned vacant land over one hectare in size has been identified that has the potential for broadhectare subdivision. However, these parcels are typically in low demand areas, zoned Township (TZ), strategic assessments have not been completed and in many instances un-sewered. Such stock is located in:

- Stanhope 71.5 hectares;
- Koyuga 34.3 hectares; and
- Lancaster 34.3 hectares.

This potential residential land supply source has deliberately been excluded from a lot yield and timing perspective as it is considered unlikely that any significant volume of subdivision activity will occur within the sites.

5.3 FUTURE RESIDENTIAL LAND SUPPLY

Analysis has been undertaken in conjunction with municipal planning officers to identify the location and associated lot yield of future residential land stocks. Future residential land stocks are identified by the Campaspe Shire Council, and contained within various municipal planning policy and strategy planning documents.

Future residential land stocks are not zoned to support immediate 'normal' residential development, and rezoning and structure planning processes are required before normal residential development proceeds.

Locations which face natural hazards (such as fire, flood and landslide) need to be assessed as part of the decision making associated with a proposed rezoning change.

Within the Shire of Campaspe, there is an estimated lot potential within Future Residential areas of approximately 6,192. Of this lot potential by township/urban area:

- 6,020 lots are located in Echuca;
- 100 lots in Waranga Shores; and
- 72 lots in Gunbower.

5.4 RURAL RESIDENTIAL ALLOTMENTS

The stock of both occupied and vacant rural residential allotments have been determined on a lot by lot basis as at December 2009. A Rural Residential allotment is defined as all allotments that are zoned Low Density Residential (LDRZ) and Rural Living (RLZ). Occupied is defined as evidence of a 'habitable' dwelling and vacant is defined as no evidence of a habitable dwelling via the interpretation of aerial imagery. Rural residential supply refers to vacant (as at 2009) LDRZ and RLZ zoned allotments. It does not assess the development capacity of existing zoned lots developed with a single dwelling or the development potential of vacant lots.

As at December 2009 across the Shire of Campaspe there was a total lot stock of rural residential allotments of 1,221. Of this stock, 217 lots were vacant, a lot vacancy rate of 18%. Graph 6 summarises the stock of both occupied and vacant rural residential allotments by suburb.


By zone type, as at December 2009 there were 585 Low Density Residential (LDRZ) allotments, of which 61 were vacant across the municipality, a lot vacancy of 10%. In comparison, there

were a total of 636 Rural Living (RLZ) zoned allotments, of which 156 were vacant – a lot vacancy rate of 25%.

The location of the majority of rural residential lots across the municipality includes:

- Echuca total 523 lots (lot vacancy of 10%);
- Kyabram total 211 lots (lot vacancy of 10%);
- Rushworth total 179 lots (lot vacancy of 33%);
- Toolleen total 119 lots (lot vacancy of 38%); and
- Waranga Shores total 125 lots (lot vacancy of 22%).

Graph 6: Stock of Vacant and Occupied 'rural residential' Allotments, 2009



Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

Future rural residential (LDRZ) unzoned areas have been identified through Council consultation and are geographically identified in the accompanying maps. In summary a total of 40.4 hectares of future rural residential land stocks have been identified. The location of the future rural residential land stocks is detailed in Table 12. However, 28 hectares are located in the township areas of Kyabram and 12 hectares in Rochester. In total (excluding minor infill) there is a residential lot supply of approximately 9,576. This is comprised of:

- 3,167 zoned broadhectare/major infill lots (33% of supply);
- 217 vacant rural residential lots (2% of supply); and
- 6,192 designated future residential lots (65% of supply).

As at December 2009, there was 296 minor infill lots identified. Of these lots, 210 were sized less than 1,200sqm or 71% of the identified lots.

As at December 2012, there was a residential lot capacity within zoned broadhectare/major infill areas of approximately 3,167, of which 2,100 lots were located in Kyabram and 696 lots in Echuca.

Based on existing planning permits, recent construction activity and Council feedback it is anticipated that over the next five years, on average 171 lots per annum will be constructed within existing zoned broadhectare areas. Historically, broadhectare lot constructed has averaged 75 lots per annum.

Within the Shire of Campaspe, there is an estimated lot potential within Future Residential areas of approximately 6,192.

As at December 2009 across the Shire of Campaspe there was a total lot stock of rural residential allotments of 1,221, of which 217 were vacant – a lot vacancy rate of 18%. A total of 40 hectares of future rural residential land stocks have been identified for the purpose of LDRZ development.

6.0 PROJECTED DEMAND

This report incorporates the most recently available demand figures to project dwelling requirements and future adequacy of residential land. These figures currently use published population and household projections contained in *Victoria in Future 2012* (VIF2012) undertaken by the (former) Department of Planning and Community Development as the basis for projected dwelling requirements

Victoria in Future 2012 is the Victorian Government's official population and household projections. Information is provided for state-wide, regional and metropolitan areas as well as local government areas. *Victoria in Future 2012* reflects the latest available trends such as changes to levels of immigration or economic conditions, or changes to policy affecting population growth locations and levels, and subsequent demand for housing.

Graph 7 summarises the projected demand for residential dwellings for the Shire of Campaspe. In addition, it highlights historic 'expressed' demand for residential dwellings in the form of residential building approvals and lot construction.

Projected dwelling requirements sourced from VIF2012 indicate that from 2011 to 2031 there will be a total dwelling requirement of 4,609 (230 average per annum). For specific time cohorts average annual dwelling requirements include:

- 2011 to 2016 178;
- 2016 to 2021 232;
- 2021 to 2026 262 and
- 2026 to 2031 250.


As measured from 2011 to 2031, the average annual projected demand by SLA within the Shire of Campaspe is:

- Echuca: 146 dwellings per annum (Urban area of Echuca);
- Kyabram: 43 dwellings per annum (e.g. Tongaly, Kyabram, Koyoga);
- Rochester: 30 dwellings per annum (e.g. Rochester, Gunbower); and
- South: 12 dwellings per annum (e.g. Stanhope, Rushworth).

An alternative demand projection has been developed that is based on recent (2006 to 2012) building approval activity – a measure of expressed demand, in conjunction with growth rates identified in the State Governments' projections. In summary, utilising this growth rate scenario results in average dwelling requirements of:

- 2011 to 2016 166;
- 2016 to 2021 177;
- 2021 to 2026 191 and
- 2026 to 2031 205.

This growth scenario results in a 20% (940 dwellings) decrease in total dwelling requirements from 2011 to 2031.



Graph 7: Historic and Projected Demand for Residential Dwellings, 2006 to 2031

Source: (former) Department of Planning and Community Development Victoria in Future 2012 Australian Bureau of Statistics, Catalogue No.8731.0 Spatial Economics Pty Ltd

Projected dwelling requirements sourced from the State Governments Population and Household Projections (Victoria in Future 2012) indicate that from 2011 to 2031 there will be a total dwelling requirement 4,609 (230 average per annum). For specific time cohorts average annual dwelling requirements include:

- 2011 to 2016 178;
- 2016 to 2021 232;
- 2021 to 2026 262 and
- 2026 to 2031 250.

An alternative demand projection has been developed that is based on recent (2006 to 2012) building approval activity – a measure of expressed demand, in conjunction with growth rates identified in the State Governments' projections. In summary, utilising this growth rate scenario results in average dwelling requirements of:

- 2011 to 2016 166;
- 2016 to 2021 177;
- 2021 to 2026 191 and
- 2026 to 2031 205.

This growth scenario results in a 20% (940 dwellings) decrease in total dwelling requirements from 2011 to 2031.

URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM REGIONAL RESIDENTIAL REPORT - SHIRE OF CAMPASPE

7.0 YEARS OF SUPPLY – RESIDENTIAL LAND

Analysis has been undertaken to estimate the years of residential land supply by Statistical Local Area. In estimating the years of residential land supply only major infill, zoned broadhectare and future residential land supply types are considered. In assessing the estimated years of supply, the demand component for the above supply types are estimated via the assessment of historic consumption.

The Population and Household Projections 2011-2031 for Victoria, outlined in *Victoria in Future 2012*, are used by the Regional Urban Development Program as the basis for determining projected demand for residential allotments. Demand information is assessed at both a municipal level and by the component Statistical Local Areas (SLAs). An alternative demand scenario is presented based on historic building approval activity.

Based on historic (July 2006 to July 2012) lot construction activity it is estimated that within the Campaspe – Echuca SLA 67% of dwelling requirements were for broadhectare/major infill allotments, 36% within the Campaspe - Kyabram SLA and 0% respectively within the Campaspe Rochester and South SLAs.

Table 3 summarises the estimated years of supply by demand scenario for major infill and broadhectare stocks combined.

YEARS OF SUPPLY - VICTORIA IN FUTURE 2012 DEMAND SCENARIO

In terms of zoned broadhectare and major infill residential land stocks it is estimated based on the identified supply and projected demand, there are sufficient land stocks to satisfy over 15 years of future demand.

Zoned broadhectare and major infill supply by SLA is sufficient to satisfy:

- 6 years: Echuca SLA;
- Over 15 years: Kyabram SLA;
- Over 15 years: Rochester and
- Over 15 years: South SLA.

In terms of future residential land supply stocks, there is sufficient land to satisfy over 15 years of projected demand across the municipal area and the composite SLA's. However there are no identified future (unzoned) broadhectare/major infill land stocks within the Kyabram SLA.

YEARS OF SUPPLY – HISTORIC TREND BASED DEMAND SCENARIO

In terms of zoned broadhectare/ major infill and future residential land stocks it is estimated based on the identified supply and projected demand, there are sufficient land stocks to satisfy over 15 years of future demand at a municipal and composite SLA level – with exception to Echuca. Based on this demand scenario, the years of zoned broadhectare/major infill land stocks is sufficient to satisfy 8 years of demand.

	VIF201	VIF2012 Demand Scenario			Historic Trend Scenario		
SLA/LGA	Zoned Stocks	Future Stocks	Total Stocks	Zoned Stocks	Future Stocks	Total Stocks	
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	6	15+	15+	8	15+	15+	
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	15+	n.a.	15+	15+	n.a.	15+	
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	15+	15+	15+	15+	15+	15+	
Campaspe (S) - South	15+	15+	15+	15+	15+	15+	
Campaspe LGA	15+	15+	15+	15+	15+	15+	

Table 3: Estimated Years of Residential Broadhectare and Major Infill Land Supply, 2012

8.0 **RESIDENTIAL TABLES**

Table 4: Minor Infill Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012

SLA/Suburb/LGA	2006- 07	2007- 08	2008- 09	2009- 10	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13 ¹	Average Lot Production
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	52	17	24	27	11	7	6	22
Echuca	52	17	24	27	11	7	6	22
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	29	31	1	21	14	7	11	18
Girgarre	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kyabram	27	26	1	17	10	7	11	15
Tongala	2	5	0	4	3	0	0	2
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	23	13	3	14	0	2	4	9
Lockington	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rochester (Vic.)	22	13	3	14	0	2	4	9
Campaspe (S) - South	9	4	1	8	3	0	0	4
Rushworth	7	4	0	8	3	0	0	3
Stanhope (Vic.)	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Campaspe (S)	113	65	29	70	28	16	21	53

1: From July 2011 to December 2012

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

					· —		
	Less than	500 to	800 to	1,200 to	2,000 to	5,000 to	10,000+
SLA/Suburb/LGA	500sqm	800sqm	1,200sqm	2,000sqm	5,000sqm	10,000sqm	sqm
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	1	14	41	16	8	1	0
Echuca	1	14	41	16	8	1	0
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	0	6	18	17	19	0	0
Girgarre	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Kyabram	0	6	15	15	14	0	0
Tongala	0	0	3	2	4	0	0
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	0	0	4	7	23	3	1
Lockington	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Rochester (Vic.)	0	0	3	7	23	3	1
Campaspe (S) - South	0	0	0	4	8	5	1
Rushworth	0	0	0	2	8	5	1
Stanhope (Vic.)	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Campaspe (S)	1	20	63	44	58	9	2

Table 5: Parent Lot Size of Minor Infill Lot Construction, July 2006 to December 2012

SLA/Suburb/LGA	2006- 07	2007- 08	2008- 09	2009- 10	2010- 11	2011- 12	2012- 13 ¹	Average Lot Production
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	145	27	36	90	104	0	0	62
Echuca	145	27	36	90	104	0	0	62
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	0	0	47	0	26	13	0	13
Kyabram	0	0	35	0	26	13	0	11
Tongala	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	2
Campaspe (S)	145	27	83	90	130	13	0	75

Table 6: Broadhectare/Major Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012

1: From July 2011 to December 2012

Note: Broadhectare/Major lot construction refers to residential projects yielding 10 or more lots.

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

Table 7: Low Density Residential I	.ot Constru	ction Acti	vity, July I	2006 to D	ecember .	2012	
	2006-	2007-	2008-	2009-	2010-	2011-	2012-
SLA/Suburb/LGA	07	08	09	10	11	12	13 ¹
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	8	7	0	2	2	14	13
Echuca	8	7	0	2	2	14	13
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	22	0	0	2	2	3	0
Kyabram	22	0	0	2	2	3	0
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	0	4	0	0	5	0	0
Rochester (Vic.)	0	4	0	0	5	0	0
Campaspe (S)	30	11	0	4	9	17	13

Table 7: Low Density Residential Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012

1: From July 2011 to December 2012

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

Table 8: Rural Living Lot Construction Activity, July 2006 to December 2012

	2006-	2007-	2008-	2009-	2010-	2011-	2012-
SLA/Suburb/LGA	07	08	09	10	11	12	13 ¹
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Echuca	0	3	2	0	0	0	0
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	0	3	7	0	0	2	0
Echuca	0	0	7	0	0	2	0
Kyabram	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Campaspe (S) - South	3	4	4	2	0	0	0
Rushworth	0	2	2	2	0	0	0
Toolleen	3	2	2	0	0	0	0
Campaspe (S)	3	10	13	2	0	2	0

1: From July 2011 to December 2012

Suburb/SLA/LGA	Less than 500sqm	500 to 800sqm	800 to 1,200sqm	1,200 to 2,000sqm	2,000 to 5,000sqm	5,000 to 10,000sqm	Total Lots
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	11	67	38	3	7	0	126
Echuca	11	67	38	3	7	0	126
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	4	25	31	4	7	2	73
Girgarre	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
Коуида	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Kyabram	4	19	17	3	2	0	45
Tongala	0	6	13	0	1	0	20
Wyuna (Vic.)	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	5	3	19	15	13	2	57
Gunbower	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
Lockington	0	0	8	3	0	0	11
Nanneella	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Rochester (Vic.)	5	3	9	12	11	0	40
Torrumbarry	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Campaspe (S) - South	3	0	4	12	17	4	40
Colbinabbin	0	0	1	6	4	1	12
Rushworth	0	0	3	5	13	3	24
Stanhope (Vic.)	3	0	0	1	0	0	4
Campaspe (S)	23	95	92	34	44	8	296

Table 9: Minor Infill (vacant lots) Supply by Lot Size Cohort, Dec 2009

SLA/Suburb/LGA	1-2 years	3-5 years	6-10 years	11+ years	No Timing	Total Zoned Stocks	Future (unzoned)	Total Lots (zoned/un- zoned)
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	116	440	0	0	65	621	6,020	6,641
Echuca	116	440	0	0	65	621	6,020	6,641
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	134	60	57	1,458	493	2,202	0	2,202
Koyuga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kyabram	107	60	57	1,458	418	2,100	0	2,100
Lancaster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tongala	27	0	0	0	75	102	0	102
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	57	50	0	0	203	310	72	382
Echuca	25	50	0	0	0	75	0	75
Gunbower	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	72
Lockington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nanneella	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rochester (Vic.)	32	0	0	0	203	235	0	235
Campaspe (S) - South	0	0	0	0	34	34	100	134
Rushworth	0	0	0	0	33	33	0	33
Stanhope (Vic.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Waranga Shores	0	0	0	0	1	1	100	101
Campaspe (S)	307	550	57	1,458	795	3,167	6,192	9,359

Table 10: Broadhectare/Major Infill Lot Potential and Anticipated Development Timing (lots), 2012

1: The no timing status identifies potential broadhectare land stocks but do not attempt to estimate potential development timing.

SLA/Suburb/LGA	Area (ha)	No. of Lots
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	80.0	13
Коуида	34.3	5
Kyabram	11.4	1
Lancaster	34.3	7
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	12.0	3
Gunbower	7.8	1
Lockington	1.5	1
Nanneella	2.6	1
Campaspe (S) - South	71.5	14
Stanhope (Vic.)	71.5	14
Campaspe (S)	163.5	30

Table 11: Broadhectare/Major Infill Stocks – No Timing or Yield, 2012

Note: The no timing status identifies potential broadhectare land stocks but do not attempt to estimate potential yield and development timing. This potential is primarily is located in low demand areas where there has been historically minimal to no subdivision activity.

Source: Spatial Economics Pty Ltd and (former) Department of Planning and Community Development 2013

Table 12: Future Rural Residential Stock (Hectares), 2012

			Total Area
SLA/Suburb/LGA	LDRZ	RLZ	(ha)
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	28.56	0	28.56
Kyabram	28.56	0	28.56
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	11.87	0	11.87
Rochester (Vic.)	11.87	0	11.87
Campaspe (S)	40.43	0	40.43

		LD	RZ		RLZ					
Suburb/SLA/LGA	Vacant	Occupied	Vacancy Rate (%)	Total Lots	Vacant	Occupied	Vacancy Rate (%)	Total Lots		
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	35	326	10%	361	5	72	6%	77		
Echuca	35	326	10%	361	5	72	6%	77		
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	19	153	11%	172	20	116	15%	136		
Echuca	0	0	0%	0	13	72	15%	85		
Echuca Village	0	7	0%	7	0	0	0%	0		
Kyabram	16	145	10%	161	6	44	12%	50		
Kyvalley	0	0	0%	0	1	0	100%	1		
Tongala	3	1	75%	4	0	0	0%	0		
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	7	45	13%	52	0	0	0%	0		
Rochester (Vic.)	7	45	13%	52	0	0	0%	0		
Campaspe (S) - South	0	0	0%	0	131	292	31%	423		
Rushworth	0	0	0%	0	59	120	33%	179		
Toolleen	0	0	0%	0	45	74	38%	119		
Waranga Shores	0	0	0%	0	27	98	22%	125		
Campaspe (S)	61	524	10%	585	156	480	25%	636		

Table 13: Occupied and Vacant Rural Residential Lot Stock by Zone Type, 2009

	Estimated Resident Population							
SLA/LGA	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031			
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	13,744	14,790	16,034	17,476	18,812			
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	12,971	13,002	13,182	13,444	13,704			
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	8,498	8,700	8,842	8,977	9,111			
Campaspe (S) - South	3,768	3,813	3,831	3,873	3,915			
Campaspe LGA	38,981	40,305	41,890	43,771	45,541			

Table 14(a): Estimated and Projected Population, 2011 to 2031

Source: (former) Department of Planning and Community Development Victoria in Future 2012

Table 14(b): Estimated and Projected Number of Dwellings, 2011 to 2031

		Structural Private Dwellings							
SLA/LGA	2011	2016	2021	2026	2031				
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	5,904	6,458	7,188	8,026	8,826				
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	5,270	5,428	5,642	5,887	6,121				
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	3,613	3,747	3,907	4,064	4,214				
Campaspe (S) - South	1,825	1,868	1,926	1,994	2,060				
Campaspe LGA	16,612	17,501	18,663	19,971	21,221				

Source: (former) Department of Planning and Community Development Victoria in Future 2012

Estimated Resident Population					Structural Private Dwellings					
SLA/LGA	2011 to 2016	2016 to 2021	2021 to 2026	2026 to 2031	2011 to 2031	2011 to 2016	2016 to 2021	2021 to 2026	2026 to 2031	2011 to 2031
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	209	249	288	267	253	111	146	168	160	146
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	6	36	52	52	37	32	43	49	47	43
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	40	28	27	27	31	27	32	31	30	30
Campaspe (S) - South	9	4	8	8	7	9	12	14	13	12
Campaspe LGA	265	317	376	354	328	178	232	262	250	230

Table 14(c): Projected Average Annual Change in the Number of Persons and Dwellings, 2011 to 2031


Source: (former) Department of Planning and Community Development Victoria in Future 2012

Table 14(d): Projected Average Annual Percentage Change in the Number of Persons and Dwellings, 2011 to 2031

Estimated Resident Population						Structural Private Dwellings				
SLA/LGA	2011 to 2016	2016 to 2021	2021 to 2026	2026 to 2031	2011 to 2031	2011 to 2016	2016 to 2021	2021 to 2026	2026 to 2031	2011 to 2031
Campaspe (S) - Echuca	1.5%	1.6%	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%	1.8%	2.2%	2.2%	1.9%	2.0%
Campaspe (S) - Kyabram	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%
Campaspe (S) - Rochester	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%
Campaspe (S) - South	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%
Campaspe LGA	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.0%	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	1.2%

Source: (former) Department of Planning and Community Development Victoria in Future 2012

LOCATION OF SUBURBS AND STATISTICAL LOCAL AREAS - CAMPASPE



URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM REGIONAL RESIDENTIAL REPORT - SHIRE OF CAMPASPE

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

BROADHECTARE LAND

Undeveloped land generally located on the urban fringe, zoned for residential development (no previous urban development activity), and the parent lot greater than 1ha.

CONSTRUCTED LOT

For the purposes of the UDP, a lot is created when land has been subdivided ('constructed') whether or not a separate title has been issued.

DWELLING

A building used as a self-contained residence, may include house, apartment, student accommodation, retirement or aged care facilities or a mobile dwelling such as a caravan.

FUTURE RESIDENTIAL LAND

Land identified by the relevant municipal authority for future residential development and current zoning not supportive of 'normal' residential development. Land which is has an 'Urban Growth Zone' applied, and a precinct structure plan has not yet been approved, falls into this category.

FUTURE RURAL RESIDENTIAL LAND

Land identified by the relevant municipal authority for future rural residential development and current zoning not supportive of such residential development. This includes both future zone types of Low Density Residential (LDRZ) and Rural Living (RLZ).

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA (LGA)

A geographical area that is administered by a local council.

LOT

For the purposes of the UDP, a lot is created when land has been subdivided ('constructed') whether or not a separate title has been issued.

MINOR INFILL

Undeveloped land within the existing urban area, zoned for residential development, and parent lot or existing lot less one hectare.

RURAL RESIDENTIAL LAND

Land zoned Low Density Residential (LDRZ) or Rural Living (RLZ).

PRECINCT STRUCTURE PLANS

In the Urban Growth Zone (UGZ), the precinct structure plan (PSP) is the key document that triggers the conversion of non-urban land into urban land. A precinct structure plan is a long-term strategic plan that describes how a precinct or a series of sites will be developed.

SUBURB (AUSTRALIAN BUREAU OF STATISTICS)

This is a census-specific area where Collection Districts are aggregated to approximate suburbs.

STATISTICAL LOCAL AREA (SLA)

A geographical area created by the Australian Bureau of Statistics for statistical purposes. Victoria is divided into 200 SLAs. SLAs may be the same as an LGA or in most cases several SLAs aggregate to form LGAs.

> URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM REGIONAL RESIDENTIAL REPORT – SHIRE OF CAMPASPE 39

