

Respondent No: 66 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

the amenity of apartments?

**Responded At:** Sep 07, 2016 15:31:57 pm **Last Seen:** Sep 07, 2016 15:31:57 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1.	Title			
Q2.	First name	_		
Q3.	Last name			
Q4.	Position title			
Q5.	Phone			
Q6.	Name of organisation			
Q7.	Postal address	West Melbourne, Vic 3003		
Q8.	Email			
Q9.	Confirm email address			
Q10	I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Planning or development consultant		
Q11	How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied		
Q12	Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No		
Q13. If yes, please specify.				
I	not answered			
Q14	How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied		
Q15	Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No		
Q16.If yes, please specify.				
ı	not answered			
Q17	How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve	Very Satisfied		

Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	No	
Q19.If yes, please specify.		
not answered		
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied	
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No	
Q22.If yes, please specify.		
not answered		
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes	
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information  Allow secure storage for bikes etc		
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	No	
Q28.If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No	
Q31. If yes, please specify.		
not answered		

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	No
Q34.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	No
Q37.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	No
Q40. <b>If yes, please specify.</b> not answered	
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	No
Q43.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied

Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	No
Q46.If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	No
Q49. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.  not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	No
Q58. If yes, please specify.  not answered	

## Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

There is a MAJOR gap in the draft standards: the need to specify a minimum standard for the size of apartments. I have visited apartments for sale to which the term 'dog boxes' is appropriate. Victoria needs to follow the lead set by NSW and set MINIMUM SIZES for apartments e.g., at least 50 square metres for one-bedroom apartments, 65 square metres for two-bedrooms and 90 square metres for three bedrooms. To leave these specifications out is totally unacceptable and will inevitably result in more 'dog boxes'. As an investor, I am not interested in buying apartments that are already unsatisfactory at the point of completion, and will be the slums of the future.

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons not answered	
Q63.Do you agree to the third party information statement?	I agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	I agree