

Respondent No: 65

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

the amenity of apartments?

**Responded At:** Sep 07, 2016 13:39:09 pm **Last Seen:** Sep 07, 2016 13:39:09 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title	
Q2. First name	
Q3. Last name	
Q4. Position title	
Q5. Phone	
Q6. Name of organisation	
Q7. Postal address	West Melbourne Vic 3003
Q8. Email	
Q9. Confirm email address	
Q10.I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Other
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No
Q13.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No
Q16.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve	Very Satisfied

Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	No
Q19. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No
Q22.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information  Secure storage for bikes needs to be included.	
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	No
Q28. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No
Q31. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	No
Q34. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	No
Q37.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	No
Q40. If yes, please specify.  not answered	
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	No
Q43.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied

Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	No
Q46.If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	No
Q49. If yes, please specify.  not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.  not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	No
Q58. If yes, please specify.  not answered	

## Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

As an investor, I am very concerned that Victoria quickly establish standards for the design of future apartments, given that many apartment buildings recently completed or in the process of completion are of notably poor standard. The standards outlined in the present document should lead to considerable improvements in the design of future apartments. However, there is a MAJOR gap and that is in the specification of the size of apartments. I have recently visited apartments for sale to which the term 'dog boxes' is apt. Victoria MUST follow the lead set by NSW and set minimum sizes for apartments ie. at least 50 square metres for one-bedroom apartments, 65 square metres for two-bedrooms and 90 square metres for three bedrooms. To leave these specifications out is totally unacceptable and will inevitably result in more 'dog boxes'. As an investor, I am not interested in buying apartments that are already unsatisfactory at the point of completion, and will be the slums of the future. Victoria can and must do better than that!

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons not answered	
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	I agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	I agree