

Submission Cover Sheet

Sub no:

332

West Gate Tunnel Project IAC

Request to be heard?: No,

Full Name: Jerome Rachele

Organisation: Institute for Health and Ageing, Australian Catholic University

Address: Level 6, 215 Spring St

Melbourne 3000

Affected property:

Attachment: West_Gate_Tunn

Comments: Please refer to the attached document.



July 10th 2017

Attention: West Gate Tunnel Project Inquiry and Advisory Committee.

RE: Institute for Health and Ageing feedback on West Gate Tunnel Project Proposal.

Please find below, the Institute for Health and Ageing's (IHA) feedback on the West Gate Tunnel Project Proposal.

Contributors: Dr Jerome N Rachele and Ms Aislinn M Healy

We are concerned about the proposed increases in traffic volumes that the West Gate Tunnel will bring to residential streets in West Melbourne and North Melbourne. Research has shown that increases in both perceptions of risk (Kerr et al., 2016), as well as actual risk (Quistberg et al., 2017), that are associated with increased local traffic volumes are likely to discourage residents from participating in active forms of transportation. This outcome is at odds with Transport for Victoria's focus on safety and commitment to increasing participation in both transportation [walking](#) and [cycling](#).

Where possible, efforts should be made to mitigate the harmful effects of increased traffic exposure for pedestrians and cyclists. For example, roadway designs that facilitate slower vehicle speeds and encourage separation have been shown to reduce the likelihood of traffic related incidents between vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists from occurring, and reduce the severity of injury if incidents do occur (Cripton et al., 2015; Stoker et al., 2015). We would like to see further complementary spending towards infrastructure for active travel in West Melbourne and North Melbourne, including physically protected bike lanes, more bike lanterns at intersections, safer roundabout design, slower speed limits, and more traffic calming around pedestrian crossings.

References:

- Cripton, P. A., Shen, H., Brubacher, J. R., Chipman, M., Friedman, S. M., Harris, M. A., . . . Babul, S. (2015). Severity of urban cycling injuries and the relationship with personal, trip, route and crash characteristics: analyses using four severity metrics. *BMJ Open*, 5(1), e006654.
- Kerr, J., Emond, J. A., Badland, H., Reis, R., Sarmiento, O., Carlson, J., . . . Conway, T. (2016). Perceived neighborhood environmental attributes associated with walking and cycling for transport among adult residents of 17 cities in 12 countries: the IPEN study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(3), 290.
- Quistberg, D. A., Howard, E. J., Hurvitz, P. M., Moudon, A. V., Ebel, B. E., Rivara, F. P., & Saelens, B. E. (2017). The Relationship Between Objectively Measured Walking and Risk of Pedestrian–Motor Vehicle Collision. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 185(9), 810-821.
- Stoker, P., Garfinkel-Castro, A., Khayesi, M., Odero, W., Mwangi, M. N., Peden, M., & Ewing, R. (2015). Pedestrian safety and the built environment: a review of the risk factors. *CPL bibliography*, 30(4), 377-392.

Institute for Health & Ageing

Level 6, 215 Spring Street, Melbourne, VIC 3000
T: +61 (0)3 9230 8170 E: iha@acu.edu.au W: iha.acu.edu.au