

Respondent No: 58 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

**Responded At:** Sep 01, 2016 12:19:58 pm **Last Seen:** Sep 01, 2016 12:19:58 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title Q2. First name Q3. Last name Q4. Position title Q5. Phone Q6. Name of organisation Q7. Postal address Newport 3015 Q8. Email Q9. Confirm email address Q10.I am submitting on behalf of a (select one) Architect or building designer Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed Dissatisfied standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments? Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the Yes standard addressing building setback? Q13. If yes, please specify. the setbacks seem arbitrary - need to include why apartments need to be setback to such an extent. Are there overlooking, overshadowing or privacy concerns at issue here? Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed Satisfied standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments? Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the No standard addressing light wells?

not answered

Q16. If yes, please specify.

Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	No
Q19. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No
Q22. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	No
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information not answered	
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	No
Q28. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No

not answered

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	No
Q34. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	No
Q37.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	No
Q40.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	No
Q43. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	

Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	No
Q46.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	not answered
Q49. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied

Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	Yes
Q58.If yes, please specify.	
Water collection and re use should be manditory	
Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box b	elow.
·	ent developers building under the student housing pretext. Maximum
building heights should be included - the assumption the	hat high rise apartments are the only solution to Melbourne's housing
issues should be questioned. Required densities could	be achieved with max 7 storey buildings in all areas other than CBD
Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached	not answered
in a separate document in either Microsoft	
Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my
	suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons	
not answered	
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information	I agree
statement?	
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights	I agree

statement?