

Respondent No: 45

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 22, 2016 14:24:20 pm Last Seen: Aug 22, 2016 14:24:20 pm

IP Address: n/a

Title				
	Title	Title	Title	Title

Q2. First name

Q3. Last name

Q4. Position title not answered

Q5. Phone

Q6. Name of organisation not answered

Q7. Postal address not answered

Q8. Email

Q9. Confirm email address

Q10.I am submitting on behalf of a (select one) Individual

Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed Dissatisfied standard addressing building setback will

improve the amenity of apartments?

Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?

Yes

Q13. If yes, please specify.

Increased setbacks especially in narrow inner suburban streets

Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?

Dissatisfied

Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?

Yes

Q16. If yes, please specify.

they are too small and should be increased by at least 20%

Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?

Very Dissatisfied

Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	Yes	
Q19.If yes, please specify.		
1.75 rather than 2 and 2 rather than 2.5		
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No	
Q22.If yes, please specify.		
not answered		
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied	
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes	
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information Storage in apartments is critical and the proposed star	ndards should be increased by 33%	
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	Yes	
Q28. If yes, please specify.		
I am unsure what the measures mean but many apartments I have visited are way to noisy.		
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No	
Q31.If yes, please specify.		
not answered		

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied		
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	Yes		
Q34.If yes, please specify.			
Getting fresh air and sunshine are critical to health an needs, suggest 4 hours	nd wellbeing - having the tiny spaces proposed will not meet those		
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided		
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	No		
Q37.If yes, please specify.			
not answered			
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied		
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	Yes		
Q40.If yes, please specify.			
Make them useable something like a 50% increase would achieve that.			
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied		
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	not answered		
Q43.If yes, please specify.			
not answered			
Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided		

Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	not answered	
Q46.If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	not answered	
Q49. If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	not answered	
Q52. If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	not answered	
Q55. If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided	
Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	not answered	
Q58. If yes, please specify. not answered		

Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

For way too many years Governments and Councils have been too willing to accept "dog box" approaches that enable developers to make a quick quid. Clearly the apartments recently built in our area reflect this with very small rooms and huge negative impact on the amenity and appearance of our area. Clearly the proposed standards go a considerable way towards addressing many of the issues BUT they still will allow small hard to live in apartments to be built.

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons not answered	
Q63.Do you agree to the third party information statement?	I agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	I agree