



Respondent No: 20

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 16, 2016 14:56:46 pm

Last Seen: Aug 16, 2016 14:56:46 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title	█
Q2. First name	█
Q3. Last name	█
Q4. Position title	not answered
Q5. Phone	█
Q6. Name of organisation	not answered
Q7. Postal address	not answered
Q8. Email	█
Q9. Confirm email address	█
Q10. I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No
Q13. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	Yes
Q16. If yes, please specify.	Forced staggered windows may cause issues, suggest staggering or providing opaque glass
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** Yes

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

This new standard is fine, but does nothing to increase the room size in apartments. Ceiling height minimums should be included/increased. 2.1m ceiling height should not be permitted, 3m should be a minimum height in all rooms. Kitchen ceilings should have more detailed standards around the types of services permitted and minimum ceiling heights

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** No

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very dissatisfied

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** Yes

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

Further storage should be provided within apartments and should not be included within the measured size of apartments. All storage external to apartments should be in a secure room, not accessible from car parks.

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** Yes

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

There is no purpose in measuring the acoustic levels of an apartment without carpet or furniture. The proposed levels are quite low and the living areas at 40dB is probably not achievable in busy areas. Noise from mechanical plant and noise from external sources should be treated differently, minimum bedroom levels should be increased to 40dB and living areas 45dB. Measurements should be taken when plant is operating in final state, an adjustment to the requirement should be considered for apartments with central heating/cooling.

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** No

Q31. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q32. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

Q33. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.** No

Q34. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q35. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q36. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?** No

Q37. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q38. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q39. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?** Yes

Q40. **If yes, please specify.**

Balcony/private external spaces should not be required.

Q41. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q42. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?** Yes

Q43. **If yes, please specify.**

Communal open spaces should not be required, will increase cost of body corp

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** Yes

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

Landscaping requirements will push up cost of body corp

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** Yes

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

This will still result in discrimination against those with accessibility requirements. Apartments with the space required for future accessibility will be more expensive. I'd suggest all apartments must meet these requirements.

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** No

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** Yes

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

Include requirement for trash and recycling chutes on all levels

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** No

Q58. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q59. **You can submit your comments in the text box below.**

These standards do nothing to actually address the issue of undersized rooms. Why have no minimum requirements been included within the plan? This should include a minimum requirement for single and double bedrooms, requirements for advertising apartments as 1.5 bedrooms for a single and double, and minimum "living room" space per bedroom in each apartment. This plan does essentially nothing to reduce or resolve the "dog-box" apartment issues.

Q60. **If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.** not answered

Q61. **Privacy Options** I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details

Q62. **Request for confidentiality reasons**

not answered

Q63. **Do you agree to the third party information statement?** I agree

Q64. **Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?** I agree
