ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

FARM 1

FOR MANAGEMENT
OF A 8 SHED BROILER FARM
AT BARINGHUP WEST ROAD, BARINGHUP WEST
("FARM 1")

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE

VICTORIAN CODE FOR BROILER FARMS

December 2013

CONTENTS

			Page
1	INTR	ODUCTION	3
	1.1	Subject Broiler Farm	3
	1.2	Background	3
	1.3	Purpose	3
	1.4	Principles	4
	1.5	Scope	4
2	ENVI	RONMENTAL ISSUES	5
	2.1	Landscaping	6
	2.2	Facilities Standards	7
	2.3	Roads and Traffic	9
	2.4	Feed, Water and Electricity Supply	11
	2.5	Noise	12
	2.6	Odour	14
	2.7	Litter and Dust	16
	2.8	Chemicals	19
	2.9	Bird Management	22
	2.10	Other Environmental Controls	24
	2.11	Contingency Plans	26
	2.12	Community Consultation	28
3	IMPI	EMENTING THE EMP	30
	3.1	Environmental Performance Targets	30
	3.2	Regular Monitoring and Contingency Plan Triggers	30
	3.3	Operations and Incident Records	30
	3.4	Incident Investigation	31
	3.5	Industry Support from Peers and Suppliers	31
	3.6	EMP Approval	31
	3.7	Signatories to EMP and Review	31
	3.8	Future Development Plans for the Farm	31
4	EMP	AUDITING AND REPORTING	32
	4.1	Annual Farm Assessment Against EMP and Planning Permit	32
	4.2	Complaints Handling	32
	4.3	Public and Local Council Reporting	32
	4.4	Broiler Chicken Farm EMP Review	33

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Subject Broiler Farm

The broiler farm the subject of this Environmental Management Plan is located at 320 Baringhup West Road, Baringhup West. It relates to a 8 shed farm which will house up to 400,000 birds.

1.2 Background

The meat chicken or broiler industry provides a highly efficient method of protein production and its products are increasingly popular with consumers. It has however, been the subject of complaints from areas of the community regarding odour, amenity loss or other environmental problems.

Following extensive discussion between government authorities, members of the community and the industry the Victorian Code for Broiler Farms (the Code) was approved and introduced into all Victorian Planning Schemes in September 2001.

Following a review of the original code the current code was adopted by Government in 2009 and the new *Victorian Code for Broiler Farms 2009* (the Code) is an incorporated document in the Mount Alexander Planning Scheme.

Applications for Planning Permits for new or expanding chicken farms are now required to be assessed against the Code.

1.3 Purpose

A key element of the Code is an emphasis on ongoing environmental management and an Environmental Management Plan (EMP) is required to be submitted with every application for a new farm or farm expansion.

This EMP has been prepared for the proposed 8 shed broiler farm on the subject land.

The objective of the EMP is to ensure best practice management and a commitment to continuous improvement in environmental performance. It is intended to minimise the risk of any adverse event with potential to impact on the environment or the surrounding community during the ongoing operation of the farm.

1.4 Principles

This EMP has been written to reflect the following principles:

- Pursuit of continuous improvement in environmental performance.
- Pursuit of outcomes rather than prescription of specific methods or processes.
- Provision of flexibility but without vagueness that could permit selective interpretation of acceptable performance.
- Provision where possible of plans or actions, not merely statements of good intentions.
- Compatibility with the need for objective independent auditing.
- Support to the Code objective, which encourages investment decisions consistent with a long term strategy for the industry.

1.5 Scope

This EMP covers best practice measures to minimise offsite environmental impacts from the operation of the subject broiler farm. Many of the measures are also beneficial to farm safety and animal welfare. However, comprehensive best practice guidance and models addressing these issues and the requirements of State Environmental Protection Policies and standards are covered in other government documents and meat chicken industry programs.

This EMP comprises twelve categories of environmental issues. Each has an objective and a series of Management Measures required to achieve the objective. Prime responsibility for each measure is indicated. In appropriate cases, additional information such as standards, quantification, contingency actions and timings are provided in the right hand column. Additional information has not been given for Management Measures where the requirements are clear and where Growers and Auditors can readily assess compliance.

2 ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Based on the recommendations of the Code Advisory Committee, the environmental issues have been grouped in the following twelve categories:

- 1 Landscaping
- 2 Facilities Standards
- 3 Roads and Traffic
- 4 Feed, Water and Electricity Supply
- 5 Odour
- 6 Noise
- 7 Litter and Dust
- 8 Chemicals
- 9 Bird Management
- 10 Other Environmental Controls
- 11 Contingency Plans
- 12 Community Participation

Overall strategies and control measures to minimise impacts and continuously improve environmental performance on each issue are provided in the following sections.

Potential impacts in relation to each issue can be managed by careful monitoring and application of these appropriate measures.

2.1 LANDSCAPING

<u>EMP Objective:</u> To maintain and enhance the landscaping, visual screening and other environmental management systems as specified in the planning permit.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.1.1	The landscape plan approved by the responsible authority in the planning permit to screen broiler farm sheds and assist with odour and noise dispersion will be adhered to.	Grower	 Inspection confirms planting has been established in accordance with the approved landscaping plan. Trees and understorey plants will be selected incorporating advice from Council and focal nurseries
2.1.2	Workplans and timelines for further stages of the landscaping plan will be in place	Grower	Plans take into account seasonal conditions and requirements
2.1.3	Landscaping will be well maintained with: • Watering system or arrangements in place • Dead/diseased plants regularly replaced and • Dust/soil erosion controlled	Grower Both(1) Grower	 Watering, weed control and mulching incorporate advice from Council and local nurseries Plant replacements are consistent with the approved landscaping plan and have regard to seasonal and weather conditions requirements Refer to number of trees planted and landscaping expenditures in accordance with permit conditions.
2.1.4	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.1 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm	Both	A process for definition of future development and improvement plans for the farm is outlined in Section 3.8 below
		L	

Note(l); "Both" means responsibility shared by both Grower and Processor

2.2 FACILITY STANDARDS

EMP Objective:

To maintain and enhance buildings, site drainage and equipment in order to minimise off-site impacts and maximise operational efficiency and safety.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.2.1	Sheds and associated areas will be maintained to the Processor and Grower agreed best practice specifications and to planning permit requirements.	Both	Comparison and inspection demonstrate compliance with both Processor and Permit requirements
2.2.2	Equipment and structures will be in place and maintained to enable odour, dust and noise control as required in the planning permit	Grower	 Manufacturer documentation for major equipment should be available to demonstrate design performance standards are achieved Failures in existing equipment or structures will be repaired within one month unless there is potential for immediate offsite noise or other effects, in these cases, timings in Sections 5, 6 and 11 apply. Upgrades identified through the audit process will be installed within one year or an alternative period agreed with the responsible authority.
2.2.3 low	Shed walls and roof surfaces will be maintained to achieve reflection and off-farm visual screening where required in the planning permit. Energy consumption, additional fan usage and animal welfare should also be considered when selecting the preferred roof surface.	Grower	Inspection confirms compliance with planning permit requirements and maintenance of external cladding in a sound condition.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.2.4	Best practice equipment for monitoring and control of temperature, ventilation, cooling and water consumption will be installed, maintained to manufacturer's specifications and used.	Grower	 Comparison demonstrates compliance with latest Processor requirements or achievement of equivalent environmental performance and bird welfare. Typically each shed will have working programmable controllers (to adjust heaters, fans and cooling systems) and remote readouts of shed temperatures and alarms (to alert on temperature and electricity excursions)
2.2.5	Drainage to soil or waterways is not impaired or unduly contaminated by shed or farm operations	Grower	Conditions of relevant drainage authority will be complied with.
2.2.6	Stormwater systems including drains, silt traps and dams will be constructed and maintained in accordance with planning permit requirements to ensure no pollution of surface or groundwater	Grower	 Stormwater runoff from roofs, roads and hardstand aprons is controlled and collected via approved drains and dams. Water used for wash down of sheds will be sourced from town water, bores and dams and will be free of chemicals. Water used in the washdown process is managed to minimal levels. All water from washdowns is coantained within the shed buildings and floors and is naturally evaporated prior to setting up the sheds for a new batch of chickens. Sizeable drains are built between each shed and around the perimeter of the farm to ensure all runoff water is collected and used for bird drinking, cooling and wash downs. Drains are maintained in shape and slope (typically greater than 1:200) and are free of weeds and blockages
2.2.7	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.2 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.3 ROADS AND TRAFFIC

EMP Objective:

To maintain and enhance roads, gates and turning areas in good condition and in accordance with the planning permit in order to prevent interference with other traffic or the generation of unreasonable off-site noise or dust.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.3.1	The surface of vehicle crossovers, access roads, loading areas and car parking spaces as required by the planning permit will be maintained to allow safe entry, all weather access and minimise generation of dust.	Grower	Repairs or upgrades where needed will be completed prior to the next major period of truck movements.
2.3.2	Road drains, stormwater runoff areas and culverts etc., will be maintained and all requirements specified in the planning permit will be put in place.	Grower	Inspection confirms compliance with planning permit requirements.
2.3.3	All vehicles and machinery, including that used by contractors servicing the farm, will be maintained to ensure that noise or emissions do not exceed the manufacturer's specification and meet limits for country and metropolitan areas as tabulated in the Code.	Both	 Where offsite noise has been identified as a concern, testing of vehicles by an EPA authorised tester may be utilised to ensure compliance with accepted noise standards. Noise emissions shall be in accordance with levels specified in SEPP-N1.
2.3.4	Where required by a risk assessment of terrain, neighbour proximity and the potential for offsite noise impacts, a register of all transport contractors and written confirmations from major firms of their noise controls is maintained on farm.	Both	Confirmation would cover these items and the use of effective noise mufflers on all vehicles

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.3.5	Farm layout and standing instructions to transport contractors will ensure that all vehicles leave the property in a forward direction.	Both	These instructions are an identifiable part of contracts or communications with transport companies.
2.3.6	Bird pick-up contractors will be instructed and supervised to ensure bird pick-up and associated activities completed during the night are undertaken with care to reduce the generation of noise.	Both	Noise control is an identifiable part of transport company contracts and training.
2.3.7	Special speed limits if necessary on the farm will be identified by training, signs or instructions to drivers in order to limit noise and dust levels.	Gower	Documentation of instructions, where needed, to contractors is maintained on farm
2.3.8	Contract transport drivers are aware of their responsibilities and will be familiar with their transport accident emergency plan.	Processor	Emergency plans comply with industry emergency procedures and with VicRoads Transport Regulations
2.3.9	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.3 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm		

2.4 FEED WATER AND ELECTRICITY SUPPLY

EMP Objective:

To ensure the quality and continuity of feed, water and shed ambient conditions in order to protect animal welfare and prevent environmental impacts.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.4.1	Well designed, constructed and totally enclosed silos and feed systems will be installed in order to provide fresh and wholesome feed without any contamination or generation of dust.	Both	Inspection indicates no evidence of breaches in the feed system.
2.4.2	Wild-bird proofing on sheds and silos will be installed and maintained, and vermin and rodents are controlled by targeted and environmentally safe baiting, using substances and protocols that meet Government and Processor requirements.	Grower	Comparison demonstrates compliance with National Biosecurity Manual guidelines.
2.4.3	Equipment and procedures for clean-up of feed spills will be available and any such spills are removed daily.	Grower	Inspection indicates no evidence of spillage or breach of the feed system
2.4.4	Potable water will be provided. This may be provided from reticulated town water supply or bore. When dam or river water is used, chlorination, ultraviolet light systems or other appropriate sanitation procedures will be used.	Grower	Comparison demonstrates compliance with dosage levels and procedures recommended by suppliers
2.4.5	Electrical power and phase supply alarms are installed to alert the Grower of supply failure and a standby generator is provided to maintain normal operating conditions	Grower	 Weekly checks will ensure that systems are operating appropriately Regular servicing of equipment
2.4.6	Changes that would improve farm performance against the EMP 2.4 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.5 NOISE

EMP Objective:

To ensure that farm operations control transmission of unreasonable noise by using appropriate design, maintenance and operating procedures.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.5.1	Operation of all mechanical equipment, including shed fans, feed systems and other equipment will minimise the offsite transmission of mechanical noise or vibration.	Grower	Equipment and electrical generators have effective noise suppressors.
2.5.2	Equipment will be installed, operated and maintained according to manufacturer's requirements or to the instructions from an appropriately qualified technical source.	Grower	Register of manufacturer instructions is available for all equipment with potential for off-site noise.
2.5.3	Where risk assessment indicates off-site noise is likely, vehicle reversing will be minimised and visual alarms used (subject to safety considerations also being met).	Grower	Farm layout and operational practices are designed to minimise reversing
2.5.4	Where risk assessment indicates off-site noise is likely, the use of outside alarms will be minimised. At night (10pm to 7am) only house alarms, visual alarms and pagers will be used to minimise the occurrence and duration of noise affecting neighbours	Grower	Inspection confirms installation of house alarms, visual alarms and paging systems where needed
2.5.5	Ventilation fans, tractors, farm vehicles, transport vehicles and other equipment will be maintained, repaired and operate to the manufacturer's requirements.	Both	 An annual farm equipment checklist or equivalent precautions are in place and used. Equipment failures causing increased off-site noise are repaired within one week. Other equipment problems are repaired in a timely manner to prevent deterioration and occurrence of excessive offsite noise. Noise emissions will be maintained in accordance with EPA Victoria Publication 1411 "Noise From Industry In Regional Victoria". The Grower currently operates farms which are larger than the farms which are subject to this EMP. Noise emissions from these farms are known to meet the prescribed requirement of Publication 1411. Noise levels will be monitored on site.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.5.6	Bird pick-up contractors will have the equipment and training specified by Processors and comply with procedures that minimise noise.	Processor	Noise control practices requiring arrival, operation and departure as quietly as possible are an identifiable part of the communications or contract with pick-up companies
2.5.7	Bird pick-up contractors will be supervised and suggested practical improvements or details of noisy contractor performance are reported to the Processor for action.	Both	
2.5.8	Feed deliveries will not not take place before 7.00am or after 10.00pm except with the formal consent of the Local Council or in emergencies.	Both	
2.5.9	Farm noise levels will comply with the noise criteria specified in the planning permit or with published Victorian industrial noise standards.	Both	 Noise limits for farming areas are prescribed in EPA Victoria Publication 1411 Where off-site noise problems persist, the Grower and/or Processor will initiate advice from a noise consultant
2.5.10	All physical noise barriers specified in the planning permit (e.g., baffles, sound barriers, landscaping, mounds, etc.) will be maintained in effective condition.	Grower	
2.5.11	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.5 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.6 ODOUR

EMP Objective:

To ensure that farm operations do not produce odours that unreasonably impact on neighbours.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.6.1	A log of key conditions and activities with potential to affect odour generation will be in place, maintained and periodically reviewed as the basis for minimisation and control of odours. It will address relevant factors including feed, drinker, litter and climate conditions and flock age.	Both	Contingency action plans including those detailed in Section 2.11 and in industry information such as for example the Chicken Care Contingency Plans Guidance Note are used These cover odour caused by: -Drinker Malfunction -Fogging Systems -Poor Ventilation -Poor Drainage -Wet Droppings -Dead Birds -Chemicals.
2.6.2	Drinker technology equivalent to industry best practice will be installed and maintained to minimise formation of wet litter.	Both	Measures including benchmarking between processors, comparison with practices of other growers and review of research and commercial literature to ensure best practice operating performance is achieved

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.6.3	Feed will be sourced only from mills capable of producing an output of assured quality. Feed formulation objectives for meat chicken diets will demonstrably minimise the risk of feed-sourced odour on farms.	Processor	 Feed formulations suspected to be causing excessive odour or wet litter will be adjusted no later than for the next bird growing cycle. Any individual feed batches strongly linked to excessive odour will be reported to the Processor, reviewed, changed or removed immediately when the sources of the problem are known. Feed delivery flexibility will be typically provided by availability of 3 silos (typically of 35 tonne capacity) for every 2 sheds
2.6.4	The prevailing weather conditions and forecasts will be taken into account when scheduling and planning farm operations in order to minimise offsite impacts.	Grower	These should be included in the log of key conditions in Clause 2.6.1, which for example should include recording of wind direction at the time of shed clean-out.
2.6.5	Changes that would improve farm performance against the EMP 2.6 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.7 LITTER AND DUST

EMP Objective:

To minimise odour or dust generation with potential for off-site impact and to ensure that no land or water contamination occurs

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.7.1	Prior to the introduction of the birds to the sheds, a new, 6 to 8 centimetre deep, layer of dry sawdust, wood shavings or rice hulls (deep litter) will be distributed over the entire shed floor.	Grower	 Dry litter is material that does not form a single stable ball when squeezed by hand. Where more precise control is required due to a history of litter or odour concerns, measurement to confirm that litter moisture is below 20% by weight may be required.
2.7.2	A concrete hardstand of area sufficient for clean-out operations will be provided and maintained at the shed entrance.	Grower	Area dimensions are greater than door width and typically at least 3 metres by 4 metres.
2.7.3	Litter moisture will be monitored and kept in a dry condition below the level for the farm known to cause odour (typically below 40% by weight throughout the batch). This is achieved by the shed floors built up above adjacent surface levels with compacted clay (thus ensuring no moisture seepage into the shed), by best practice drinkers (to ensure that overflowing and flooding of the deep litter does not occur) and by checking of the litter and drinkers in the shed at least daily.	Grower	 Litter monitoring (at least on a 6-point visual scale of "dusty, friable, moist, sticky, wet/sticky/caking or very wet/sticky) will be recorded weekly at nine points per shed, as defined by DNRE and outlined in the Code or by a method of equivalent performance. Measurement of litter moisture percentage by weight is recommended where persistent odour problems are occurring. Major drinker leakages will be detected by regular shed inspections (typically 3 to 4 times daily). Contingency actions including gas heating, ventilation adjustment and others detailed in industry information (such as for example the Chicken Care Contingency Plans Guidance Note) are implemented to dry litter and counteract high moisture levels prior to onset of excessive odour generation.
2.7.4	Any major wet litter areas will be removed and replaced with dry litter where practicable.	Grower	Areas of wet litter exceeding 2 square metres will be replaced with dry litter on no less than a daily basis. Removal of any such wet litter follows litter procedures in this Section.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.7.5	Limited pastoral applications of the litter will be in accordance with approved litter management plans based on relevant advisory guidelines and are monitored to ensure no nutrient overload can occur.	Grower	Monitoring is in accord with the requirements of the responsible authority
2.7.6	The timing of litter removal will be chosen, where it is reasonably possible, to minimise off-site impacts by taking into account weather conditions such as temperature, wind direction, turbulence and time of day.	Grower	 Turbulence for example can help odour dispersion while calm conditions can make the odour impact worse Evening conditions usually are unfavourable
2.7.7	Litter transported off-site will be free of dead birds.	Grower	Every effort, for example by inspection of empty sheds before litter removal is undertaken
2.7.8	Litter cleaned out after each batch following removal of all chickens will be removed from each shed as part of the cleaning process and loaded directly onto trucks for transport off-site for further processing, reuse or disposal. Sheds will be closed before and after clean-out to reduce odour.	Grower	Where there is a history of litter or odour concerns, clean-out conditions should be included in the log of key conditions in Clause 2.6.1
2.7.9	Contractors responsible for deliver)' and pick-up of litter will ensure that all trucks delivering and collecting litter at the beginning/end of each batch have secured covers, which are used to prevent any dust or spillage of the litter on arrival at and departure from site.	Both	Where problems have been identified, evidence of arrangements with contractors and actions taken should be available

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.7.10	When immediate removal is not possible, litter may be stored for a short term in stockpile areas effectively designed with low permeability to avoid contamination of surface water, groundwater and land. Water runoff will be prevented (for example, through use of bunding control) and stockpile dust, moisture and odour are controlled.	Grower	It is not proposed to stockpile litter on site.
2.7.11	Stockpiling and subsequent collection of stockpiled litter will be done where practical at times to minimise odour generation (taking into account temperature, wind direction and turbulence).	Grower	It is not proposed to stockpile litter on site
2.7.12	Provision will be made to contain and promptly clean up any litter spillage in order to minimise generation of contaminated stormwater or dust.	Both	Such events and actions are documented in farm logbooks
2.7.13	If dust is visible with potential for off-site impact, action will be taken to control the level of dust emissions.	Both	 Contingency actions include adjustment of litter moisture levels or fan cowls Careful maintenance of strategically located tree/vegetation belts will also assist in control of any airborne dust
2.7.14	Changes that would improve farm performance against BMP 2.7 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.8 CHEMICALS

EMP Objective:

To identify all environmental and safety hazards associated with chemicals and fuels used on the farm, to ensure systems are in place to handle accidents and to prevent on-site and off-site impacts.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.8.1	The Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for all chemicals used will be available on the farm, are reviewed and the implications for use of the substances are assessed and understood. Risk controls will be in place before a new substance is received on the farm.	Both	
2.8.2	A list of the maximum quantities of chemicals and fuels . typically stored on the farm will be available, containers are labelled and HAZCHEM placards posted as required under Dangerous Goods and Workplace Hazardous Substances Regulations.	Both	
2.8.3	All agricultural chemicals used in poultry facilities will be registered and approved for the intended use.	Both	Usual approvals are given by the National Registration Authority
2.8.4	The supply, storage, mixing, application and disposal of farm chemicals will be in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended application rates and procedures, with EPA Prescribed Waste Regulations and with the Farm Chemical Spray Application Code	Both	The Farm Chemicals Spray Application Code produced by Avcare includes examples of best practice agricultural chemicals records programs

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.8.5	All persons applying chemicals on broiler chicken farms will have successfully completed training in the safe use of chemicals (for example, the Farm Chemical Users Course or equivalent) or be supervised by a person who has.	Both	Evidence of successful completion of the training is available
2.8.6	Records will be maintained covering the purchase and procurement of chemicals and the details of each chemical application. These records will be freely available to responsible authorities to substantiate that the chemical use meets the requirements of the Code of Practice for Farm Chemical Spray Application.	Both	Records will be kept on site for inspection
2.8.7	Storage of farm chemicals will prevent contamination of soil or stormwater and prevent uncontrolled reactions in routine operations or through spills.	Both	Controls can include selection of lowest risk storage location, sealed flooring, bunding, segregation and provision of spill absorbents
2.8.8	LPG and other fuels storage and handling complies with legal requirements and supplier guidelines.	Grower	

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.8.9	No chemical or related odours will be detected off-site during or after shed washdown. To minimise the risk of off-site chemical spray drift, sheds are will be closed immediately after chemical applications and for 12 to 48 hours after spraying with hazardous or highly odorous substances.	Both	 Sanitation/cleaning of sheds use high pressure low volume sprays to avoid generation of free flowing water or excessive odour or mists The 48-hour minimum period is required for substances with a history of offsite odours or high potential for health impacts. Early placement of dry litter and reclosure of doors within the 48 hour period is permissible practice
2.8.10	Controls will ensure there is no chemical spray drift into sensitive areas, such as watercourses, residential housing or nearby horticultural enterprises using integrated pest management programs.	Grower	 Controls can include spraying outside areas only on days with favourable wind conditions, selection of spray method, use of coarser spray nozzles to increase droplet size and precision, etc. Guidance is available in pamphlets including Reducing Spray Drift (Agriculture Victoria) and Protecting Waterways from Contamination by Pesticides (DNRE Victoria)
2.8.11	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.8 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.9 BIRD MANAGEMENT

EMP Objective:

To provide a safe and healthy environment for birds that is appropriate for their physical and behavioural needs and for control of odour.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.9.1	Sheds, equipment, management systems and farm practices will comply with the <i>Code of Accepted Farming Practice</i> for Welfare of Poultry (Rev I).	Both	 Comparisons and inspections demonstrate compliance. Heating and cooling systems and the use of roof insulation will control sheds to the temperatures appropriate to bird age and as outlined in the welfare code listed opposite.
2.9.2	Effective biosecurity and general shed management will comply with the requirements of the Processor, the National Biosecurity Manual and DNRE guidelines to minimise the risk of disease introduction to the farm.	Both	 Comparisons demonstrate compliance Facilities and procedures are also in place to allow officers from the responsible authorities to inspect the farm without compromising biosecurity
2.9.3	Monitoring and adjustment will be provided at least daily and more often (3 to 4 times daily) in hot weather to feeder availability and height, water availability and drinker height, ventilation rates, air speed, temperature and light intensity.	Grower	• Frequencies are as per guidance in welfare code listed in 2.9.1
2.9.4	Fogger/cooling system performance will be observed, adjusted and maintained to provide the operating pressures and spray patterns specified by Processors or equipment suppliers and to minimise litter wetting.	Grower	The use of cool pad technology rather than foggers will avoid the wetting of litter.
2.9.5	Any maldigestion of feed or observable increase in shed odour or moisture content of droppings will be reported to the Processor for review (by a qualified husbandry officer), bird removal, adjustment of feed formulation or treatment for poor health.	Both	An observable and recorded increase in droppings moisture for a three day period would typically confirm the need for a review and action

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.9.6	Bird density does not exceed those specified in the Code of Accepted Farming Practice for Welfare of Poultry (Rev#1)	Processor	• The standard currently required is 40 kg/m² maximum and is reviewed and updated from time to time.
2.9.7	Growers will record daily bird mortality and report any abnormal losses or trends to their Processor for review and action.	Both	 Bird mortalities at double the norm for that week, of the batch or unusual flock appearance would typically trigger a review and action. Refer to industry's Chicken Care Performance Indicators Log
2.9.8	The collection of dead birds from within the sheds occurs on a daily basis, or more frequently should conditions so require.	Grower	
2.9.9	Disposal of dead birds will be in accordance with the planning permit. It utilises a freezer, composter or other approved method and occurs on a daily basis.	Both	Dead birds will be placed in plastic buckets/ bags/bins and stored in a freezer daily. They will be regularly collected and transported to a rendering plant or licenced land-fill.
2.9.10	Freezers or approved alternative disposal methods are maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications and provided with on-farm standby power.	Grower	
2.9.11	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.9 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

2.10 OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS

EMP Objective:

To ensure that those involved in broiler farming are environmentally aware, are trained and implement environmental and fire risk prevention and control practices.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.10.1	Broiler farm personnel will participate in briefings and other activities arranged by the industry and other bodies to increase and share knowledge of best practice production and environmental management methods.	Both	Examples could include attendance or talks at Processor, Grower Branch, Chicken Care, EPA/NRE/TAFE meetings or workshops
2.10.2	The skills needed to carry out all farm activities safely, efficiently and environmentally soundly will be defined. Suitable training will be identified, planned, attended, recorded and reviewed.	Both	The skills needed and examples of known providers are listed in industry information (such as for example the Chicken Care Broiler Farming Skills Guidance Note)
2.10.3	Environmental Risk Assessments and Contingency Plans will demonstrate that farm procedures and practices are proactive and cautious in their approach to foreseeable environmental risk events.	Both	
2.10.4	A Waste Minimisation Plan for all significant farm wastes will be defined and implemented.	Both	The plan covering wastes (including spilt/off-spec feed, packaging, dead birds, chemical containers, etc) and byproducts (including used litter) will regularly seek to identify opportunities and methods to reduce such materials

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.10.5	Clear requirements for fire prevention will be documented and communicated to all people on the farm. These may include restrictions on smoking, welding, comfort heating, vegetation burnoff or other activities involving sources of ignition.	Grower	Documentation will be available for inspection
2.10.6	Appropriate facilities to prevent, detect and control fires are provided and maintained.	Both	 Contact with local CFA officers and use of CFA guidelines is advised Equipment includes fire extinguishers mounted in control rooms and reliable access to mains water or to fire water stored in dams
2.10.7	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.10 objectives above are identified and included in future development plans for the farm.	Both	

2.11 CONTINGENCY PLAN

EMP Objective:

To complement the planning and prevention of environmental impacts in earlier sections of the EMP, well thought out contingency plans and triggers for all foreseeable events are also provided.

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.11.1	Documented contingency plans for all foreseeable odour and other environmental events and the trigger conditions for their implementation will be defined and available on the farm.	Both	 Contingency actions and triggers include those routine measures detailed in industry information (such as for example the Chicken Care Contingency Plans Guidance Note), chemical supplier MSDS and CFA Guidelines. In cases where persistent or serious odour or dust problems are occurring, possible actions for consideration include increased litter depth, reduction in bird density, increased ventilation to dry litter, changed feed, earlier or emergency bird removal, staggered shed wake-up times, dietary or odour control additives, air/dust system redesign, dispersion stacks and others. Operational changes for persistent problems should be made within one week. Plans for changes to operational practices or equipment should be available to the responsible authority and discussed with interested neighbours before the start of the next batch.
2.11.2	Arrangements for more frequent than usual dispatch of dead birds and for alternative means of collection/disposal in the case of an emergency will be available.	Both	Details of such preplanned options are available for inspection
2.11.3	Adequate means of disposal in the event of an emergency disease outbreak or catastrophic mortalities will be available, used under direction of the Chief Veterinary Officer and achieve the optimum overall health, environmental and economic outcome.	Both	

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.11.4	Chemical or fuel spill contingency plans and suitable access to clean-up equipment and materials will be available and meet the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) and other supplier recommendations.	Both	Requirements are covered in Farm Chemical Users Training courses regularly run by TAPE colleges and by chemical and oil industry groups
2.11.5	Documented fire emergency control and response plans will be practiced and updated for lessons learned from drills or actual events.	Both	Examples and details for these plans are available in guidelines published by CFA, Emergency Management Australia and chemical and oil industry groups
2.11.6	Contract transport drivers will be trained and familiar with their transport emergency response plan.	Both	Evidence of familiarity with a documented transport emergency plan is part of the transport company's contracts
2.11.7	All foreseeable environment and safety emergencies including odour, wet litter, feed spills, dust plumes, noise, fire, gas release, chemical leak and flooding will be identified. Control and response plans for these will be documented, practiced and updated with lessons learned from drills or actual events.	Both	 Some control actions are included in industry information such as for example the Chicken Care Contingency Plans Guidance Notes which will be regularly updated Grower branch meetings are one method of experience sharing to assist all Growers to implement changes and improvements.
2.11.8	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.11 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm	Both	

2.12 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

EMP Objective:

To provide processes for consultation with farm neighbours and the local Council so that their concerns and expectations are understood and met

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.12.1	All involved company and farm staff members will demonstrate commitment to openness and two-way dialogue with all interested parties.	Both	Establish and use Performance Indicator Log entries on Neighbour Contacts and Complaints. Compare with published industry average performance
2.12.2	Ways will be sought to brief the community on the risks, controls and benefits of the meat chicken industry.	Both	Refer Performance Indicator Log entries on Neighbour Contacts and Complaints. Refer to lists of activities done by Grower and by Industry
2.12.3	Farm owners will brief staff, neighbours and local Councils on the selected goals and targets, their rationale and historical performance.	Grower	Consideration and discussion with immediate neighbours of the development plans for new and expanding farms is recommended, where possible, prior to submission of a formal planning permit application.
2.12.4	All complaints received will be viewed as opportunities for improvement and addressed in a positive and cooperative manner.	Both	A written copy of complaint details using forms in the VCBF or similar will be provided by Council or other responsible authority to Growers promptly (typically within one day) to allow remedial action to be undertaken
2.12.5	A log of complaints received including their type, complainant details and actions taken will be maintained. The log will be periodically reviewed to identify and remedy recurring causes where possible.	Grower	Refer to Performance Indicator Log entries on Neighbour Contacts and Complaints which provides for Formal Complaints, Formal/Validated Complaints and Observations from neighbours

	Management Measures	Prime Resp.	Performance Measure, Trigger Level or Contingency Actions/Timing
2.12.6	Regular liaison with the local Council will take place over complaints received and on upset conditions that occur with potential to impact nearby residents.	Grower	Refer to farm logbooks (or for example the Chicken Care Performance Indicator Log and list of events)
2.12.7	Complaints resolution using the local Council and industry processes and the Supplementary Audits as outlined in the Code will be followed where required.	Both	 Refer to <i>Victorian Code for Broiler Farms</i> (Appendix 5) and to industry guidance documents Maintaining good neighbour relationships in advance may allow issues to be resolved before a formal compliant is
2.12.8	The results of complaints, corrective actions, complaint resolution activities and audits will be available to local Council and to neighbours.	Grower	
2.12.9	Records of the properties, procurement and use of chemicals will be maintained and available to local Council and farm heighbours.	Grower	
2.12.10	Changes that would improve farm performance against EMP 2.12 objectives above are identified and included in the future development plan for the farm.	Both	

3 IMPLEMENTING THE EMP

3.1 Environmental Performance Targets

The objectives, strategies and management measures for each Environmental Issue covered in the previous section should be made specific to the conditions of the farm and the expectations of its neighbours.

The extent to which the objectives are met are intended to be measurable in terms of specific levels and timeframes wherever possible. Issue relevance will be defined after consideration of past problems and the expectations of neighbours for the near future.

Where possible, these targets will be discussed with the local Council and interested parties.

3.2 Regular Monitoring and Contingency Plan Triggers

The site EMP requires contingency plans for excessive odour, noise or dust generation, for chemical, fuel, feed or litter spills, for bird illness and for other environmental events.

The Grower will define and monitor indicators of such key events relevant to the farm and pre-define the specific trigger level beyond which the Event Contingency Plan will be implemented. The indicators and triggers in the site EMP will be discussed with the local Council and where appropriate with other interested parties.

3.3 Operations and Incident Records

Analysis and continuous improvement is a key objective of the site EMP. Accordingly, Growers will maintain a log of regular monitoring of the parameters or indicators identified above and of other indicators of good practice generally used by industry participants.

An environmental log will also be maintained on the farm to record the monitoring and corrective/contingency actions taken in situations and incidents considered to be outside normal operating parameters.

The logs will be used in formulating operating targets for the next year and may be of assistance in the resolution of complaints.

3.3 <u>Incident Investigation</u>

The Grower and his Processor will carry out a post-incident review of the causes of any significant incident and of the effectiveness of actions taken under the documented contingency plan. Corrections to root causes of the problem will be undertaken by both Grower and Processor when identified. Results of individual incidents will be provided to the local Council and discussed with neighbours when requested.

3.5 Industry Support from Peers and Suppliers

The Grower will share information on safety and environmental improvements and on community interactions with other Growers and Processors. Methods for this will include participation at industry network meetings, briefing talks and farm visits.

The Grower will provide information to his/her Processor, local Councils or the broiler industry to assist in performance reviews of industry progress and in the development of new regulations, codes or standards.

3.6 EMP Approval

The EMP for the subject farm will be approved to the satisfaction of the local Council as part of the planning process.

3.7 Signatory to EMP and Review

The site EMP, the annual review of farm performance and the updated strategies and targets for the following year will be signed by both the Grower and Processor. The documents will be revised and signed by a new Grower on any change of the parties contracting to operate the farm.

3.8 Future Development Plans for the Farm

The Code and the EMP are based on a principle of continuous improvement as outlined in Section 1.3 above. The Grower will therefore collect ideas for the development and improvement of the farm and use them in prioritising action plans for the current and future years.

These ideas will come from changes identified to improve performance against each category of the EMP objectives (Sections 2.1 to 2.12) and from reviews of Performance Targets (Section 3.1), Operations Records (Section 3.3), Incident Investigations (Section 3.4), Annual and any Supplementary Audits (Section 4.1) and Complaint Resolution actions (Section 4.2)

4 EMP AUDITING AND REPORTING

4.1 Annual Farm Assessment against EMP and Planning Permit

The Grower will maintain a manual and be aware of the Planning Permit, the site EMP and regulatory obligations relating to his farm operation.

An annual assessment of the compliance with the site EMP and the Planning Permit and of the adequacy of the actions taken to meet farm improvement objectives and targets will be made and signed by the Grower and Processor.

This assessment will use an audit document containing all the elements of the EMP and be conducted in detail sufficient to evaluate or confirm to the responsible authority that planning permit requirements are met.

Audit documents will be retained by the Grower and Processor for five years.

The frequency of assessments may be adjusted based on the performance of the farm and with the agreement of the local Council.

4.2 Complaints Handling

As outlined under measures for Community Participation, complaints will be addressed as legitimate community concerns and opportunities for improvement. It is important therefore that all complaints wherever received are passed on to the Grower within one working day and that the Grower is advised in writing of a validated complaint within one day of its confirmation, so that causes and corrective actions can be identified and implemented.

When received, the Grower, a suitably qualified Processor employee and where possible a local Council or EPA officer and the complainant will investigate the problem. Complaints lodged with the responsible authority may trigger a supplementary Audit as outlined in the Code. Results will be provided to the local Council or EPA.

Complaints about a farm that are not satisfactorily addressed above will be resolved by use of a Complaints Resolution Process satisfactory to the EPA, local Councils, industry and the community.

4.3 Public and Local Council Reporting

A summary of the results of the Audit will be provided on request to local Council and the Code Committee. Other interested parties may request summaries from the local Council. The full documents will also be made available on request to ensure that community expectations and government standards are met and as part of the ongoing reviews of the EMP and the Code.

4.4 Broiler Chicken Farm EMP Review

The content of the broiler chicken farm EMP will be reviewed every three years and updated as required. The review will take into account the results of farm EMP Audits, the status of industry programs such as for example Chicken Care and the inputs of broad community stakeholders and government bodies.