	Respondent No: 135 Login: Anonymous Email: n/a	Responded Last Seen: IP Address	At: Sep 19, 2016 10:20:15 am Sep 19, 2016 10:20:15 am n/a
Q1. Title			
Q2. First name			
Q3. Last name			
Q4. Position title			
Q5. <b>Phor</b>	ne		
Q6. Nam	e of organisation	Marshall White Projects	
Q7. Post	al address		
Q8. <b>Ema</b> i	1		
Q9. Conf	irm email address		
Q10. <b>I am</b>	submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Sole provider or company in	volved in the development industry
stan	satisfied are you that the proposed dard addressing building setback will ove the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied	
	ld you recommend any changes to the dard addressing building setback?	Yes	
Q13.If yes	s, please specify.		

New setbacks of 12m and upto 24m- seriously- By the looks of this decision the correct team of architects, town planners and property experts has NOT been formed to address the building setbacks - this needs to be looked at correctly- you need to model what a potential building will look like with the new planning controls I am not an architect or town planner so I wont comment on what the setbacks should be amended to- by the looks of this decision either are the people who have written this 12-24m

Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed	Dissatisfied	
standard addressing light wells will improve		
the amenity of apartments?		
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No	

Q16. If yes, please specify.

Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied	
Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	Yes	
Q19. If yes, please specify.		
You are limiting a lot of great floor plans which buyers enjoy There are positions for an apartment within every development which would need and respond better to a more flexible kitchen set back The design given is like a simple cookie cutter design- when this is put into the real world it wont work More attention needs to be given to this- perhaps meetings with leading architects and town planners would assist in making a better apartment designs		
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied	
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No	
Q22. If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes	
Q25.If yes, please specify. More information		
This is a great change! As a sales agent we have noticed the apartment market moving into a more owner occupying reign Buyers are becoming more concerned with storage- space and light I am pro more storage- please consult the leading professionals to make sure what is proposed is the best option		
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	Yes	
Q28.If yes, please specify.		

I am satisfied with this idea Again- please discuss this with the right professionals to make sure the right changes will be made

Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied	
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	Yes	
Q31. If yes, please specify. 100% pro saving energy and greener buildings!!		
Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied	
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	No	
Q34. If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied	
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	Yes	
Q37. If yes, please specify. This is a great idea- if you model the apartment to meet what you are proposing it doesn't work Please consult the right architects- not every building and development site is the same size and can offer the same cookie cutter apartment design		
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	No	
Q40. If yes, please specify. not answered		
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied	

## Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the

standard addressing communal open space?

Q43. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	No
Q46. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	Νο
Q49. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	

No

Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied			
Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	No			
Q58. If yes, please specify.				
not answered				
Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below. I am a sales agent and budding property developer The overall idea behind making this document is 1000% - we need higher standards! The execution and decisions which have gone into this document are 30% at best For a document which has the power to change the entire Victorian apartment and development industry it is disappointing to see how it has been handled This is a 'game changer' type document Please hold off the decisions and discuss properly with the leading architects- town planners and surveyors - property experts- talk to the sales agents - we are the people on the front line talking with the buyers and what they are looking for Take on board what they have to say- you will kill an industry just like NSW did 10 years ago				
Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered			
Q61. Privacy Options	These comments are being made by an organisation and I understand that it will be published , including the name of the organisation			
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons				
not answered				
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	l agree			
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	l agree			