?	Respondent No: 18 Login: Anonymous Email: n/a		Responded At: Last Seen: IP Address:	Aug 16, 2016 12:28:40 pm Aug 16, 2016 12:28:40 pm n/a
Q1. Title				
Q2. First r	name			
Q3. Last n	ame			
Q4. <b>Positi</b>	on title	not answered		
Q5. Phone	9			
Q6. Name	of organisation	not answered		
Q7. Posta	laddress		Mt Victoria,	
Q8. Email				
Q9. Confi	rm email address			
Q10. <b>I am s</b>	ubmitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual		
stand	eatisfied are you that the proposed ard addressing building setback will ve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided		
	I you recommend any changes to the ard addressing building setback?	Yes		
Q13. <b>lf yes,</b>	please specify.			
	buildings will require further setbacks even at t e ok if the neighbour is also 6m, but this is not the			l solar access. The 6m setback
stand	eatisfied are you that the proposed ard addressing light wells will improve nenity of apartments?	Undecided		
	I you recommend any changes to the ard addressing light wells?	Yes		
Q16. <b>lf yes</b> ,	please specify.			

A light well should not be fully enclosed on all four walls at any height. The outcomes of a 9m2 light well and courtyard at the bottom of a 3-4 storey apartment block are below par.

Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	not answered
Q19. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	not answered
Q22.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	not answered
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information	
not answered	
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	not answered
Q28. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	not answered

## Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	not answered
Q34. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	not answered
Q37. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	not answered
Q40. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q41.How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	Yes

## Q43. If yes, please specify.

Minimum dimensions should be added, for both internal and external communal spaces. Sides of buildings, created via the setback, should not be included, as these are unusable.

Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	not answered
Q46. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	not answered
Q49. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	not answered
Q52. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	not answered
Q55. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied

## Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the

standard addressing water management?

## Q58. If yes, please specify.

Provide quantifiable standards or requirements, especially for large developments. "Buildings should" will not result in deliverable outcomes from the document.

not answered	
Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. <b>Request for confidentiality reasons</b> not answered	
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	l agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	l agree