



Respondent No: 9

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 15, 2016 06:51:16 am

Last Seen: Aug 15, 2016 06:51:16 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title	Australian standard of living is dropping
Q2. First name	████
Q3. Last name	██████
Q4. Position title	████████
Q5. Phone	██████████
Q6. Name of organisation	None
Q7. Postal address	not answered
Q8. Email	████████████████████
Q9. Confirm email address	████████████████████
Q10. I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	Yes
Q13. If yes, please specify.	Apartment sizes. Apartments that have adequate living space, are home-like and affordable with a focus on more 2 and 3 bedrooms.
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	Yes
Q16. If yes, please specify.	Apartment buildings spaced and design for maximum light. This does not achieve that.

Q17. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** No

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** No

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** No

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

not answered

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** No

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** No

Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Dissatisfied

Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify. No

Q34. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments? Very Dissatisfied

Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation? No

Q37. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Very Dissatisfied

Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space? No

Q40. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Very Dissatisfied

Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space? No

Q43. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** No

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** No

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** No

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** No

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management? No

Q58. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

I grew up in this great country, Australia. When I grew up life wasn't cramped, hectic and overcrowded. We grew up on large blocks of land in modest houses that most parents could afford to buy. We spent summer lying in the grass looking up at the blue sky. It was a way of life to aspire to. I do remember visiting apartments in the 80's and 90's. They were spacious, home-like YET affordable. In my late 20's I lived in Asia, where life is hectic, cramped and the standard of living is not something to aspire to. What I don't understand is why Australia is trying to emulate this? Tiny tiny apartments at exorbitant prices. Is it because we are becoming over-populated? Is it because of the Asian influence? Either way, it's done, unfortunately. The way most of us who remember the 70's and 80's have adapted is by feeling consistent low level tension, moving to the out-skirts/country and avoiding places like Chadstone 'monolith' on a Saturday. I suppose as long as the newcomers are accomodated who cares about the rest of us. It makes me angry the way quality and standard of living has changed for the worse in this country. These big apartment buildings full of dog boxes only fit for dogs are littering Southbank and even the Kings Way exit ramp giving the city landscape a dark gloomy feel. One can only surmise at the quality of life of people who's balconies overlook the freeway. It is a shame and a disgrace.

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format. not answered

Q61. Privacy Options I agree that my comments can be published openly with my name and suburb/town but no other details

Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons

not answered

Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement? I agree

Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement? I agree
