



Respondent No: 51

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 25, 2016 20:17:30 pm

Last Seen: Aug 25, 2016 20:17:30 pm

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **Title** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Position title** [REDACTED]
- Q5. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Name of organisation** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Postal address** [REDACTED] south melbourne
- Q8. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q9. **Confirm email address** [REDACTED]
- Q10. **I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)** Individual
- Q11. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied
- Q12. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?** No
- Q13. **If yes, please specify.**
not answered
- Q14. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied
- Q15. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?** No
- Q16. **If yes, please specify.**
not answered
- Q17. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** Yes

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

Spaces are still too small for 3 bedroom apartments.

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** No

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** Yes

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

there is still not enough storage. i would recommend doubling this as a requirement

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** No

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** No

Q31. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q32. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q33. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.** No

Q34. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q35. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q36. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?** No

Q37. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q38. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q39. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?** No

Q40. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q41. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q42. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?** Yes

Q43. **If yes, please specify.**

there needs to be more open space for residence to use. otherwise our kids will end up playing on the street

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** No

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** Yes

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

space is still too small. take a bedroom for example, a queen bed is basically 2m x 2m this leaves 1m at the foot of space and 0.7 each side to move around in. then you want to store some clothes, 2 people using a bedroom require more storage than what 1 wardrobe offers. these bedrooms need to be bigger. 4m x 3.4m as a minimum. otherwise there are only going to be single, and young people living in these apartments

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** No

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** No

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** No

Q58. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

not answered

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format. not answered

Q61. Privacy Options I agree that my comments can be published openly with my name and suburb/town but no other details

Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons

not answered

Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement? I agree

Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement? I agree
