Respondent No: 41 Login: Anonymous Email: n/a		Responded At: Last Seen: IP Address:	Aug 20, 2016 18:25:49 pm Aug 20, 2016 18:25:49 pm n/a
Q1. Title	not answered		
Q2. First name	•		
Q3. Last name			
Q4. Position title	not answered		
Q5. Phone			
Q6. Name of organisation	not answered		
Q7. Postal address	not answered		
Q8. Email			
Q9. Confirm email address			
Q10.I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual		
Q11.How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied		
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No		
Q13. If yes, please specify.			
not answered Q14.How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied		
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No		
Q16. If yes, please specify. not answered			
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied		

Q19. If yes, please specify.

Overall minimum size of apartments is too small. They must be bigger for comfortable living. Or limit the number of apartments in any one development that can be this small. Developers will claim buyers will have to pay more. On the other hand, developers can lower their profit margin. If there's 'a buck to be made', developers will still build. If they don't, it will be better to change stamp duty structure to stimulate bilding, if and when.

Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No
Q22. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information New storage requirement must NOT eat into the m discretionary use by the resident.	ninimum area of the apartment, which was formerly available for
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	Yes
Q28.If yes, please specify.	
	ETWEEN apartments must be increased. Some neighbours have TV on of rooms in apartments is not the only part of the solution.
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No

Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	No
Q34. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	No
Q37. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	No
Q40. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	No
Q43. If yes, please specify.	

Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	Νο
Q46. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	No
Q49.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	Νο
Q52. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied

No

Q58. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

Keeping designs on track needs independent plan review. This should be by government employees who are more accountable and less corruptable than opaque, private monitors. Also, there needs to be more independent inspections during building. This is needed to stop reconfiguring of internal of apartments after they reach lock up stage. It must stop inferior products being used (such as flammable cladding).

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons My name, email and phone must not be published.	
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	l agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	l agree