



Respondent No: 16

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 15, 2016 22:16:34 pm

Last Seen: Aug 15, 2016 22:16:34 pm

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **Title** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Position title** [REDACTED]
- Q5. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Name of organisation** not answered
- Q7. **Postal address** not answered
- Q8. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q9. **Confirm email address** [REDACTED]
- Q10. **I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)** Individual
- Q11. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered
- Q12. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?** not answered
- Q13. **If yes, please specify.**
not answered
- Q14. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered
- Q15. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?** not answered
- Q16. **If yes, please specify.**
not answered
- Q17. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** Yes

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

The diagram reflecting the 2.5:1 ration on Page 20 is unclear. The maximum room depth should be specified as "2.5 * ceiling height" and the ceiling height arrow should be labelled. The current diagram could be seen as ambiguous and does not, in the opinion of this mathematician, provide any extra clarity.

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** not answered

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** not answered

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

not answered

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** not answered

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** not answered

Q31. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q32. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q33. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.** not answered

Q34. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q35. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q36. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?** not answered

Q37. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q38. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q39. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?** not answered

Q40. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q41. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q42. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?** not answered

Q43. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** not answered

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** not answered

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** not answered

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** not answered

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** not answered

Q58. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

not answered

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.

not answered

Q61. Privacy Options

I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details

Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons

not answered

Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?

I agree

Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?

I agree
