

Respondent No: 15 Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

the amenity of apartments?

Responded At: Aug 15, 2016 08:01:51 am **Last Seen:** Aug 15, 2016 08:01:51 am

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title	
Q2. First name	
Q3. Last name	
Q4. Position title	not answered
Q5. Phone	
Q6. Name of organisation	not answered
Q7. Postal address	
Q8. Email	
Q9. Confirm email address	
Q10.I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No
Q13. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No
Q16.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve	Dissatisfied

standard addressing room depth?	
Q19.If yes, please specify.	
Room dimensions in terms of width need to also be included. Effectively room size has been omitted from the standard despite being either first or second most important for private respondents and even the REIV!	
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No
Q22. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information	
Storage type should also be part of the standard, for exwith weather sealed area around it. (i.e. dust penetration	example having wire mesh walls is vastly different to sealed storage in, exposure to humidity and temperature shifts etc)
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	Yes
Q28. If yes, please specify.	
There should be a minimum noise floor for living space ventilation etc if you can't realistically ever open the win	es when the windows are open. There is no point having cross flow dows or leave them open.
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	Yes

Yes

Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the

Q31. If yes, please specify.

Taking into account cooling load alone ignores Victoria's cold winters and therefore needs to also factor for heat load. Thermal Load as a whole (heat and cooling load) must be part of the standard.

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?

Dissatisfied

Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.

Yes

Q34. If yes, please specify.

2 hours is quite short. I'd like to see this extended to three, but acknowledge this is challenging in dense inner city areas. Still, this standard adds a lot of amenity to the building and will help ensure that surrounding buildings are not too densely built in.

Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?

Dissatisfied

Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?

Yes

Q37. If yes, please specify.

Details of the circumstances where cross ventilation is not required should be provided by the standard. Saying 60% need cross ventilation does not provide sufficient grounds for why 40% of dwellings should not have cross ventilation.

Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?

Satisfied

Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?

No

Q40. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?

Dissatisfied

Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?

Yes

Q43. If yes, please specify.

The amount of communal open space should scale as the number of apartments scales. 100m2 is not much space for an apartment complex of 200 dwellings.

Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	No
Q46. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	No
Q49.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	Yes

Q55. If yes, please specify.

More guidelines on when and how to use organic material waste collection should be included. Huge amount of food waste are generated and in addition there is waste from balcony and indoor plants and the current guidelines only encourage it.

Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed Satisfied standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments? Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the No standard addressing water management? Q58. If yes, please specify. not answered Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below. Minimum apartment sizes were the number one(!) "strongly agree" from respondents yet it is totally absent from the standard. Space received the second highest number of submission! The only nod in with regards to space was apartment depth and room height. It is totally inadequate that apartment sizes in a country as vast as Australia are sliding in the direction of chinese shoeboxes. Having lived in apartments in southbank for 8 years and spending some time looking for alternative accommodation in and around the area, it's clear that size standards urgently need setting. The only other issue which was otherwise rated as quite low, that I personally feel should receive some more attention is visitor parking spaces. Queensland (and I believe other states) have minimum standards for visitor parking. We frequently have problems when visiting friends or having friends visit us to find parking in the surrounding streets. Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached not answered in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format. Q61. Privacy Options I agree that my comments can be published openly with my name and suburb/town but no other details

I agree

I agree

Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons

Q63. Do you agree to the third party information

Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights

not answered

statement?

statement?