Respondent No: 4 Login: Anonymous Email: n/a		Responded At: Last Seen: IP Address:	Aug 14, 2016 12:35:29 pm Aug 14, 2016 12:35:29 pm n/a
Q1. Title			
Q2. First name			
Q3. Last name			
Q4. Position title	not answered		
Q5. Phone			
Q6. Name of organisation			
Q7. Postal address			
Q8. Email			
Q9. Confirm email address			
Q10.I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual		
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied		
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No		
Q13. If yes, please specify. not answered			
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied		
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No		
Q16. If yes, please specify. not answered			
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied		

Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the

standard addressing room depth?

Q19. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No
Q22. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	Yes
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information Could be larger	
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	Yes
Q28. If yes, please specify.	
Not enough attention to reducing noise between a apartments including - above, below and adjoining	partments. Should be a limit to amount of noise allowed between
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No
Q31.If yes, please specify.	
not answered	

No

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied			
Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	Yes			
Q34. If yes, please specify.				
Will be difficult to meet this standard as well as the windows standard for North facing apartments				
Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied			
Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	Yes			
Q37. If yes, please specify.				
Would be difficult to achieve for multiple apartments on e	ach level			
Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied			
Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	not answered			
Q40. If yes, please specify. not answered				
Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied			
Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	Yes			
Q43. If yes, please specify.				
Difficult to achieve, superfluous if private open space is enforced. Not likely to be used by many residents.				
Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied			

Q46. If yes, please specify.

Alternative landscaping such as green walls and roof top gardens to cover all vacant areas with a minimum percentage of rooftop area mandatory

Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	Yes
Q49. If yes, please specify.	
External accessibility has been ignored. What happens are shut down and the only access to ground level is s	s to a wheelchair bound resident on level 30 when there is a fire - lifts stairway?
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Satisfied
Q51.Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q53.How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	No

Q58. If yes, please specify.

not answered

$\ensuremath{\texttt{Q59}}\xspace.$ You can submit your comments in the text box below.

Please see detailed comments where provided. Whilst the Draft Design Standards nominate a minimum size for storage areas and private open space, I didn't see any reference to minimum size for bedrooms, living rooms or total apartment size. I believe that this issue needs consideration in order to avoid potential for slum dwellings.

Q60.If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons not answered	
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	l agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	I agree