



**Respondent No:** 106

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Sep 16, 2016 17:08:05 pm

**Last Seen:** Sep 16, 2016 17:08:05 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

- Q1. **Title** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Position title** [REDACTED]
- Q5. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Name of organisation** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Postal address** [REDACTED] South Yarra 3141
- Q8. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q9. **Confirm email address** [REDACTED]
- Q10. **I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)** Individual
- Q11. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied
- Q12. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?** Yes
- Q13. **If yes, please specify.**
- The current proposals still allow developers to use design and development overlays as exist in City of Melbourne to override zone heights and negate the Rescode standards regards setbacks. If the DDO is applied then the setbacks can be varied by the developers sourced and paid surveyor. The DDO 15 means that a Planning Permit can be issued without reference to rescode re setbacks. The Surveyor can apply reductions to rescode requirements. Affecting the light and solar efficiency of existing buildings. Leads to corruption!
- Q14. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided
- Q15. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?** not answered
- Q16. **If yes, please specify.**
- not answered

Q17. <b>How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?</b>	Undecided
Q18. <b>Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?</b>	not answered
Q19. <b>If yes, please specify.</b>	not answered
Q20. <b>How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?</b>	Undecided
Q21. <b>Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?</b>	Yes
Q22. <b>If yes, please specify.</b>	Do not allow Design and Development overlays to be used to negate Rescode requirements.
Q23. <b>How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?</b>	Satisfied
Q24. <b>Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?</b>	No
Q25. <b>If yes, please specify. More information</b>	not answered
Q26. <b>How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?</b>	Undecided
Q27. <b>Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?</b>	not answered
Q28. <b>If yes, please specify.</b>	not answered
Q29. <b>How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?</b>	Satisfied
Q30. <b>Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?</b>	No

Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided
--	-----------

---

Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.	not answered
---	--------------

---

Q34. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
--	-----------

---

Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?	No
--	----

---

Q37. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
---	-----------

---

Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?	not answered
---	--------------

---

Q40. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided
--	-----------

---

Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?	not answered
--	--------------

---

Q43. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?**

Satisfied

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?**

No

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?**

Satisfied

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?**

No

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?**

Satisfied

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?**

No

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?**

Satisfied

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?**

No

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?**

Undecided

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** not answered

---

Q58. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q59. **You can submit your comments in the text box below.**

Again what about existing apartments? They are subject to developers and their pet surveyors using overlays and expensive advisors to build dwellings which overlook and reduce the solar access, light, amenities including views of skyline of existing buildings. This is usually through using DDO as in Melbourne to negate zone height limits and leave setbacks up to the developers pet surveyors!

---

Q60. **If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.** not answered

---

Q61. **Privacy Options** I agree that my comments can be published openly with my name and suburb/town but no other details

---

Q62. **Request for confidentiality reasons**

not answered

---

Q63. **Do you agree to the third party information statement?** I agree

---

Q64. **Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?** I agree

---