



**Respondent No:** 22

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Aug 17, 2016 05:19:17 am

**Last Seen:** Aug 17, 2016 05:19:17 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. Title	Ratio of apartment mix 1, 2 and 3 bedroom
Q2. First name	[REDACTED]
Q3. Last name	[REDACTED]
Q4. Position title	[REDACTED]
Q5. Phone	[REDACTED]
Q6. Name of organisation	[REDACTED]
Q7. Postal address	[REDACTED] East Melbourne, VIC 3002
Q8. Email	[REDACTED]
Q9. Confirm email address	[REDACTED]
Q10. I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No
Q13. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No
Q16. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Undecided

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** No

---

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** No

---

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

---

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** Yes

---

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

Storage facilities can be provided in car parks, but need to be enclosed and secured - not cages

---

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

---

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** Yes

---

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

Minimum acoustic standards also need to be applied to floor slab and floor treatment - acoustic underlay and depth of slab. AC split units. These should be separated from apartment terrace. It is not possible to use a terrace whilst the AC/heating is on - noise and heat from outdoor unit on terrace makes space unusable. This also transfers to other apartments. Solution is to have a services riser for such plant where there is no central ac. It could be directed that complexes over a certain size are prevented from use of split units and must use central AC/heating.

---

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

---

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** No

---

Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Satisfied

---

Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify. No

---

Q34. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments? Dissatisfied

---

Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation? No

---

Q37. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Dissatisfied

---

Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space? Yes

---

Q40. If yes, please specify.

Apartment terraces and equipment must be seperated - no ac units on terraces.

---

Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Satisfied

---

Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space? No

---

Q43. If yes, please specify.

not answered

---

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** No

---

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** No

---

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

---

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** Yes

---

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

Windows in corridors are not required

---

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** Yes

---

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

Process still requires substantial manual handling of waste bins for collection. 240 or 600ltr bins add substantial noise pollution issues. This still requires contracted waste services rather than council provided services that owners are still charged for in their rates. Apartment owners end up paying double for waste collection. The concept of green and composting seem a stretch for apartment buildings - the handling process adds substantial costs to OC operating costs Insinkeratorators maybe a solution to reducing landfill The

---

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

---

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** No

---

Q58. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q59. **You can submit your comments in the text box below.**

There is no discussion on mix in the building of 1, 2 and 3 bedroom. This is crucial to better apartment living and should be addressed. Seeing 40level highrises with 40-50% mix of 1 bedrooms does nothing to foster good community and healthy community living. A ratio should be imposed that sees 1 bedrooms less than 5% and 3 bedroom minimum of 40%. This then serves to make highrise an attractive alternative to urban sprawl

---

Q60. **If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.** not answered

---

Q61. **Privacy Options** I agree that my comments can be published openly with my name and suburb/town but no other details

---

Q62. **Request for confidentiality reasons**

not answered

---

Q63. **Do you agree to the third party information statement?** I agree

---

Q64. **Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?** I agree

---