



**Respondent No:** 1

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Aug 14, 2016 09:57:25 am

**Last Seen:** Aug 14, 2016 09:57:25 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. Title	█
Q2. First name	████
Q3. Last name	████
Q4. Position title	██████
Q5. Phone	██████
Q6. Name of organisation	██████
Q7. Postal address	████████
Q8. Email	████████████████
Q9. Confirm email address	████████████████
Q10. I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	████
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	Yes
Q13. If yes, please specify.	Greater setback is needed to improve light and space at ground level
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No
Q16. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** Yes

---

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

Apartments needs to have more room depth to improve minimum standard of living. developer profit margins are not as important as the quality of living spaces for future melbournians.

---

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** No

---

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

---

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** Yes

---

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

Apartment storage cages need more attention to improve security

---

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

---

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** Yes

---

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

Better standards for minimum floor and wall insulation are needed

---

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** No

---

Q31. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q32. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

---

Q33. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.** Yes

---

Q34. **If yes, please specify.**

Better minimal standards to solar access to communal outdoor open space is needed

---

Q35. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q36. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?** No

---

Q37. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q38. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

---

Q39. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?** Yes

---

Q40. **If yes, please specify.**

Much better access to private open space is needed. This is important for quality of life.

---

Q41. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

---

Q42. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?** Yes

---

Q43. **If yes, please specify.**

More communal open space is vital

---

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied

---

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** Yes

---

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

Landscaping should be required to consider addition of native trees

---

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided

---

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** No

---

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** No

---

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** No

---

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

---

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** No

---

Q58. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

---

**Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.**

Future apartment buildings should be required to have more variety in sizes. currently there are few options for families with older children living in apartments as there are so few larger apartments being built. Also apartment builders should not be allowed to build such tall towers next to lower dwellings. it seems as though developers and vcat do not care about community. Open space is very important for mental health and towers should not be packed into sites so close. See Toorak Park apartment towers on orrong road for an example of overblown development where developers over rode community requests and have squashed as many apartments as possible into the space with little open space on the site.

---

**Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.** not answered

---

**Q61. Privacy Options**

[REDACTED]

---

**Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons**

[REDACTED]

---

**Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?** I agree

---

**Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?** I agree

---