



Respondent No: 21

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Aug 16, 2016 16:03:38 pm

Last Seen: Aug 16, 2016 16:03:38 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title	not answered
Q2. First name	██████████
Q3. Last name	██████████
Q4. Position title	not answered
Q5. Phone	██████████
Q6. Name of organisation	██████████
Q7. Postal address	██████████
Q8. Email	████████████████████
Q9. Confirm email address	████████████████████
Q10. I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)	Individual
Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?	No
Q13. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?	No
Q16. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied

Q18. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?** No

Q19. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q20. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q21. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?** No

Q22. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q23. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?** not answered

Q24. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?** No

Q25. **If yes, please specify. More information**

not answered

Q26. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q27. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?** No

Q28. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q29. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q30. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?** Yes

Q31. **If yes, please specify.**

Needs clearer targets for sustainability

Q32. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q33. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify.** No

Q34. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q35. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q36. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation?** Yes

Q37. **If yes, please specify.**

Ventilation targets need to be increased and considered in context of acoustics

Q38. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q39. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space?** No

Q40. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q41. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q42. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space?** No

Q43. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q44. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q45. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?** No

Q46. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q47. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q48. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?** No

Q49. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q50. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?** Satisfied

Q51. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?** No

Q52. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q53. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q54. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?** Yes

Q55. **If yes, please specify.**

Needs more effort to mitigate waste

Q56. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?** Dissatisfied

Q57. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?** No

Q58. **If yes, please specify.**

not answered

Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.

Very disappointed with no minimum apartments size. This is the single most significant failing of the draft. Affordability can be addressed in other ways, for example more community payback by developers.

Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format. not answered

Q61. Privacy Options I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details

Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons

not answered

Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement? I agree

Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement? I agree
