



Respondent No: 100

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Sep 16, 2016 15:00:14 pm

Last Seen: Sep 16, 2016 15:00:14 pm

IP Address: n/a

Q1. Title

[REDACTED]

Q2. First name

[REDACTED]

Q3. Last name

[REDACTED]

Q4. Position title

[REDACTED]

Q5. Phone

[REDACTED]

Q6. Name of organisation

Miura Group Pty Ltd

Q7. Postal address

[REDACTED]

Q8. Email

[REDACTED]

Q9. Confirm email address

[REDACTED]

Q10. I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)

Individual

Q11. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?

Very Dissatisfied

Q12. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?

Yes

Q13. If yes, please specify.

activity centres located in 5-10 km to Melbourne CBD is where we need to increase the density, however the setback rules make it impossible to develop and design attractive looking and proper layout building as the blocks in those activity centre quite often very narrow, it is not in line with the future planning that Victoria government intention to boost those activity centre areas.

Q14. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?

Satisfied

Q15. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?

No

Q16. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied
Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	Yes
Q19. If yes, please specify. The room depth rule affect the room layout significantly, it will affect the practical use of the space.	
Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied
Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	Yes
Q22. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	No
Q25. If yes, please specify. More information not answered	
Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	Yes
Q28. If yes, please specify. not answered	
Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	Yes

Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Satisfied

Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify. Yes

Q34. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments? Very Dissatisfied

Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation? Yes

Q37. If yes, please specify.

The 15m breeze path has not been justified and is very restrictive in planning an apartment. For instance, this requirement makes cross ventilating, some perfectly reasonable three-bedroom apartment impossible. The breeze path as defined in the NSW apartment design guidelines is 18m so why are we changing this? • Air moves in response to a pressure differential. It is not particularly concerned if the distance is 15m or 20m

Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Satisfied

Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space? Yes

Q40. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Satisfied

Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space? Yes

Q43. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	Yes
Q46. If yes, please specify.	
poorly drafted standard that is far too open to subjective interpretation • A one size fits all solution to percentage of site area to be landscaped makes no sense in an urban context, The controls will often be contradictory to existing planning controls	
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	No
Q49. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	No
Q52. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied

Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	No
<hr/>	
Q58. If yes, please specify.	
not answered	
<hr/>	
Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.	
<p>Apartment standard should leave room for council to make decisions according to different demographic of the areas, rather than one fits all standard, some area of the standards are significantly impact on the city living, such as set back and natural ventilation, room depth, window etc, make it almost impossible to build reasonable good quality development in the CBD city fringe activity centres.</p>	
<hr/>	
Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
<hr/>	
Q61. Privacy Options	These comments are being made by an organisation and I understand that it will be published , including the name of the organisation
<hr/>	
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons	
not answered	
<hr/>	
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	I agree
<hr/>	
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	I agree
<hr/>	