



Respondent No: 153

Login: Anonymous

Email: n/a

Responded At: Sep 19, 2016 14:31:43 pm

Last Seen: Sep 19, 2016 14:31:43 pm

IP Address: n/a

- Q1. **Title** [REDACTED]
- Q2. **First name** [REDACTED]
- Q3. **Last name** [REDACTED]
- Q4. **Position title** [REDACTED]
- Q5. **Phone** [REDACTED]
- Q6. **Name of organisation** [REDACTED]
- Q7. **Postal address** [REDACTED] Melbourne
- Q8. **Email** [REDACTED]
- Q9. **Confirm email address** [REDACTED]
- Q10. **I am submitting on behalf of a (select one)** Sole provider or company involved in the development industry
- Q11. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing building setback will improve the amenity of apartments?** Very Dissatisfied
- Q12. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing building setback?** Yes
- Q13. **If yes, please specify.**
- The current setback provisions adequately address apartment design objectives, therefore proposed changes to building setback are not required.
- Q14. **How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing light wells will improve the amenity of apartments?** Undecided
- Q15. **Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing light wells?** No
- Q16. **If yes, please specify.**
- not answered

Q17. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing room depth will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
---	--------------

Q18. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing room depth?	No
---	----

Q19. If yes, please specify.	
------------------------------	--

not answered	
--------------	--

Q20. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing windows will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
--	--------------

Q21. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing windows?	No
--	----

Q22. If yes, please specify.	
------------------------------	--

not answered	
--------------	--

Q23. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing storage will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
--	--------------

Q24. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing storage?	No
--	----

Q25. If yes, please specify. More information	
---	--

not answered	
--------------	--

Q26. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing noise impacts will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
--	-----------

Q27. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing noise impacts?	No
--	----

Q28. If yes, please specify.	
------------------------------	--

not answered	
--------------	--

Q29. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing energy efficiency will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
--	--------------

Q30. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing energy efficiency?	No
--	----

Q31. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q32. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Satisfied

Q33. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing solar access to communal outdoor open space? If so, please specify. No

Q34. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q35. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing natural ventilation will improve the amenity of apartments? Very Dissatisfied

Q36. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing natural ventilation? Yes

Q37. If yes, please specify.

The proposed natural ventilation standard has the potential to contradict current ESD and Fire Management standards and in practice will be very hard to achieve.

Q38. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing private open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Dissatisfied

Q39. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing private open space? No

Q40. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q41. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing communal open space will improve the amenity of apartments? Dissatisfied

Q42. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing communal open space? No

Q43. If yes, please specify.

not answered

Q44. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing landscaping will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied
Q45. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing landscaping?	No
Q46. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q47. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing accessibility will improve the amenity of apartments?	Very Dissatisfied
Q48. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing accessibility?	No
Q49. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q50. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q51. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing dwelling entry and internal circulation?	not answered
Q52. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q53. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing waste will improve the amenity of apartments?	Satisfied
Q54. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing waste?	No
Q55. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q56. How satisfied are you that the proposed standard addressing water management will improve the amenity of apartments?	Dissatisfied

Q57. Would you recommend any changes to the standard addressing water management?	No
Q58. If yes, please specify.	not answered
Q59. You can submit your comments in the text box below.	not answered
Q60. If you prefer, your comments may be attached in a separate document in either Microsoft Word or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.	not answered
Q61. Privacy Options	I request my comments to be published anonymously with my suburb/town but no other details
Q62. Request for confidentiality reasons	not answered
Q63. Do you agree to the third party information statement?	I agree
Q64. Do you agree to the intellectual property rights statement?	I agree